

POSITIVE PROCESSES

V. I. Bakhtin

Belarus State University (bakhtin@bsu.by)

In the present paper we introduce positive flows and processes, which generalize the ordinary dynamical systems and stochastic processes. We develop a branch of theory of positive operators based on the concepts of phase and positive algebras, the spectral potential, the dual entropy, equilibrium measures, the action functional, sensitive states, empirical measures and prove within it the law of large numbers with respect to the sensitive states and calculate asymptotics for probabilities of large deviations in terms of the action functional.

Keywords: *positive flow, positive process, spectral potential, dual entropy, equilibrium measure, action functional, empirical measures*

2000 MSC: Primary 37A50, 37D35; Secondary 47B65, 28D20, 60F10

Contents

Declaration	2
1 Dynamical potentials and equilibrium measures	3
2 Phase algebras	5
3 Proof of the real analog of Gelfand–Naimark’s theorem	7
4 Positive algebras	10
5 Positive flows	13
6 The law of large numbers	15
7 Probabilities of large deviations	19
8 Positive processes	22
9 Supports of positive flows	25
10 Examples	27

Declaration

In this paper we introduce notions of a positive flow and a positive process. They generalize the ordinary dynamical systems and stochastic processes. Their main distinctive feature is the presence of not unique probability measure on the phase space but a family of measures that is defined as follows. For each positive flow or process we fix a certain convex functional on the algebra $C(X)$, where X is the phase space of the object being studied. This functional is called the spectral potential and it is none other than the logarithm of the spectral radius of the corresponding weighted shift operator. It is analogous to the free energy (the Helmholtz potential) in thermodynamics. Any its subgradient automatically turns out to be an invariant probability measure on X . Below, as they do in thermodynamics, we call these measures equilibrium. All of them have equal rights because there is no reason to give a preference to any of them rather than to other.

Another peculiarity of the positive flows and processes is the fact that we treat the concept of motion mostly from the algebraic point of view. We declare an abstract semigroup of positive operators (for instance, the family of weighted shift operators) as the source of any motion. And first of all we investigate the evolution of operators instead of individual trajectories in the phase space. This approach is borrowed from quantum mechanics. Within it the very concept of a phase space becomes secondary. This tells, in particular, in the fact that for the same law of motion (evolution) one can consider different phase spaces. They are determined by the set of those functions whose values an observer wishes to measure out. In quantum mechanics similar sets of functions are called Abelian algebras of observables. But in the present paper we call them the phase algebras.

The concepts of a positive flow and a positive process are equivalent in the sense that they are particular cases of each other. To define them one should specify some semiordered operator algebra with one distinguished element (evolution operator) and fix in it some Abelian subalgebra isomorphic to the algebra of continuous functions on a Hausdorff compact set. The last set is called the phase space of the positive flow (process).

Despite the great generality of the described approach, we succeed to prove within it some quite concrete probability-theoretic results. For instance, if the spectral potential is differentiable (in the sense of Gâteaux) at some point then for the corresponding equilibrium measure the law of large numbers is valid. Moreover, we obtain exponential asymptotics for probabilities of observation of various empirical measures.

Every discrete time dynamical system can be represented as a positive flow with a fixed equilibrium measure. On the other hand, if we consider a dynamical system without distinguished measure on the phase space then we can assign to it many different positive flows with different families of equilibrium measures. In the same way positive processes correlate with stochastic processes.

Finally, notice that positive flows and processes allow us to introduce in a natural way the ideology and the categories of the classical equilibrium thermodynamics into the theory of dynamical systems and stochastic processes (though this is not under discussion in the text).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we introduce the notion of a dynamical potential. We prove that both the topological pressure, which is well known in the theory of dynamical systems, and the logarithm of the spectral radius of a weighted shift operator

are, in fact, dynamical potentials. For any dynamical potential by means of the Fenchel–Legendre transform we define the corresponding dual entropy. In Section 2 we introduce phase algebras, which are isomorphic to algebras of continuous functions on the phase space, and formulate a criterion for an algebra to be phase (a certain analog of the Gelfand–Naimark theorem). The next Section 3 has rather technical character and is entirely devoted to the proof of the criterion. In Section 4 we define the base element of all subsequent constructions — the positive algebras. They inherit most important features of operator algebras on the real spaces $C(X)$ and $L^p(X, \mu)$. It is proved that for any positive element of a positive algebra there exists a linear eigenfunctional on the algebra with eigenvalue equal to the spectral radius of the element. In Section 5 definitions of a positive flow and a spectral potential are presented. It is proved there that every spectral potential is a dynamical potential. From this fact it follows that the spectral potential is convex, and that any its subgradient is an invariant probability measure on the phase space. In Section 6 we define eigen-states of a positive flow and prove the law of large numbers. In Section 7 we calculate asymptotics for probabilities of large deviations by means of an action functional. Section 8 deals with positive processes. It contains a construction that enables us to identify naturally each positive process with a certain positive flow called the suspension over the process. On the other hand, by definition each positive flow is a positive process. So it possesses the corresponding suspension as well. In Section 9 we prove that in essence a positive flow and the suspension over it coincide. The concluding Section 10 contains several examples illustrating the fact that all dynamical systems and positive processes are special cases of positive flows and positive processes.

1 Dynamical potentials and equilibrium measures

Let X be a Hausdorff compact set. Denote by $C(X)$ the space of all real-valued continuous functions on X supplied with the supremum norm. By the Riesz theorem [6, Theorem IV.6.3] each linear continuous functional $\mu: C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is identified with a real-valued Borel measure on X , which we will denote by the same letter μ , so that $\mu(f) = \int_X f d\mu$ for all $f \in C(X)$. In particular, if the functional μ is positive (i.e., nonnegative over nonnegative functions) then the corresponding measure μ is positive too. And if the functional μ is not only positive but also normalized (takes the unit value on the unit function) then the corresponding measure is probability.

Consider an arbitrary continuous mapping $\alpha: X \rightarrow X$. Denote by (X, α) the discrete time dynamical system generated by α . For any $\varphi \in C(X)$ define the weighted shift operator A_φ in $C(X)$ by the rule $A_\varphi f = e^\varphi \cdot f \circ \alpha$. Let $\lambda(\varphi)$ be the logarithm of the spectral radius of A_φ . It is easily shown (which will be done below) that the functional $\lambda(\varphi)$ possesses a number of remarkable properties. Firstly, it is *monotone*: if $\varphi \leq \psi$ then $\lambda(\varphi) \leq \lambda(\psi)$. Secondly, it is *additive homogeneous*: $\lambda(\varphi + t) = \lambda(\varphi) + t$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thirdly, $\lambda(\varphi + \psi) = \lambda(\varphi + \psi \circ \alpha)$ for all $\varphi, \psi \in C(X)$. This property we shall call the *strong α -invariance* of $\lambda(\varphi)$. And fourthly, $\lambda(\varphi)$ is *convex* with respect to φ .

If we fix a positive Borel measure μ on X then we may consider the action of the weighted shift operator A_φ in the space $L^p(X, \mu)$, where $p \geq 1$. In this case the four properties of $\lambda(\varphi)$ mentioned above will be true as well. And it is easy to check that one more invariant of the dynamical system (X, α) named the topological pressure possesses the same properties. So we arrive to the following definition.

We shall say that a functional $\lambda(\varphi)$ on the $C(X)$ is a *dynamical potential* of the dynamical system (X, α) if $\lambda(\varphi)$ is monotone, additive homogeneous, strongly α -invariant, and convex with respect to φ . It follows from the definition that any dynamical potential satisfies the Lipschitz condition with unit Lipschitz constant. Indeed, the monotonicity and the additive homogeneity imply that $\lambda(\varphi) - \lambda(\psi) \leq \lambda(\psi + \max|\varphi - \psi|) - \lambda(\psi) = \max|\varphi - \psi|$.

Let us define the *dual entropy* of a dynamical potential $\lambda(\varphi)$ as the function of measure

$$S(\mu) = \inf_{\varphi \in C(X)} (\lambda(\varphi) - \mu(\varphi)), \quad \mu \in C^*(X). \quad (1.1)$$

Obviously, $S(\mu)$ is bounded above (because $S(\mu) \leq \lambda(0)$) and only in sign differs from the Fenchel–Legendre transform of the function $\lambda(\varphi)$. Hence the dual entropy is always concave and upper semicontinuous (relative to the $*$ -weak topology). Since the Legendre transform is involution, we have

$$\lambda(\varphi) = \sup_{\mu \in C^*(X)} (\mu(\varphi) + S(\mu)). \quad (1.2)$$

Suppose $\lambda(\varphi)$ is a dynamical potential of a dynamical system (X, α) , where X is compact and $\alpha: X \rightarrow X$ is continuous. Any subgradient of the $\lambda(\varphi)$ at a point $\varphi \in C(X)$ we shall call an *equilibrium measure* corresponding to the φ (in other words, a linear functional $\mu: C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an equilibrium measure iff $\lambda(\varphi + \psi) - \lambda(\varphi) \geq \mu(\psi)$ for all $\psi \in C(X)$). Evidently, the set of all equilibrium measures corresponding to any fixed φ is convex and closed (in the $*$ -weak topology). It follows from the theorem about supporting hyperplane that this set is nonempty. It consists of only one measure μ if and only if there exists the Gâteaux derivative $\lambda'(\varphi)$. In this case $\mu = \lambda'(\varphi)$.

From the definitions of the dual entropy and an equilibrium measure it follows immediately

Proposition 1.1 *For any $\varphi \in C(X)$ and $\mu \in C^*(X)$ the Young inequality $S(\mu) \leq \lambda(\varphi) - \mu(\varphi)$ holds. It turns into equality if and only if μ is an equilibrium measure corresponding to φ .*

Proposition 1.2 *If $S(\mu) > -\infty$ then the measure μ is probability and α -invariant. In particular, this is true for all equilibrium measures.*

Proof is by contradiction. Firstly, in view of the additive homogeneity of the dynamical potential, $S(\mu) \leq \lambda(t) - \mu(t) = \lambda(0) + t(1 - \mu(1))$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. And if $\mu(1) \neq 1$ then $S(\mu) = -\infty$. Secondly, assume that $\mu(\varphi) < 0$ for a certain nonnegative function $\varphi \in C(X)$. Then, since the dynamical potential is monotone, we see that $S(\mu) \leq \lambda(-t\varphi) - \mu(-t\varphi) \leq \lambda(0) + t\mu(\varphi)$ for all $t > 0$ and so $S(\mu) = -\infty$. Thirdly, the α -invariance of μ is equivalent to the identity $\mu(\varphi) = \mu(\varphi \circ \alpha)$, $\varphi \in C(X)$. Assume that $\mu(\varphi) \neq \mu(\varphi \circ \alpha)$ for a certain $\varphi \in C(X)$. By the strong α -invariance of the dynamical potential, we have $\lambda(t\varphi - t\varphi \circ \alpha) \equiv \lambda(0)$. Therefore, $S(\mu) \leq \lambda(t\varphi - t\varphi \circ \alpha) - \mu(t\varphi - t\varphi \circ \alpha) = \lambda(0) - t(\mu(\varphi) - \mu(\varphi \circ \alpha))$ and hence $S(\mu) = -\infty$. Finally, if μ is an equilibrium measure corresponding to $\varphi \in C(X)$ then by Proposition 1.1 we have $S(\mu) = \lambda(\varphi) - \mu(\varphi) > -\infty$. $\square$

Proposition 1.3 *If $S(\mu) > -\infty$ then μ belongs to the closure of all equilibrium measures (in the norm of $C^*(X)$).*

Proof. A variant of Bishop–Phelps’ theorem [5] states that if $\lambda(\varphi)$ is a continuous convex functional on a Banach space B and $\mu \in B^*$ and the difference $\lambda - \mu$ is bounded below then μ lies at zero distance from the set of subgradients of λ . $\square$

Let us define on $C^*(X)$ the *action functional* $\tau_\varphi(\mu) = \mu(\varphi) + S(\mu) - \lambda(\varphi)$. By Proposition 1.1 it is always nonpositive and it vanishes only on the equilibrium measures. And, in view of Proposition 1.3, it may be finite (not equal to $-\infty$) only on the closure of all equilibrium measures.

Denote by $M_\alpha(X)$ the set of all α -invariant Borel probability measures on X . From Proposition 1.2 it follows that the supremum in (1.2) is attained on $M_\alpha(X)$. Hence any dynamical potential has the form

$$\lambda(\varphi) = \sup_{\mu \in M_\alpha(X)} (\mu(\varphi) + S(\mu)), \quad (1.3)$$

where $S(\mu)$ is the corresponding dual entropy. By Proposition 1.1, the supremum in (1.3) is attained exactly on the equilibrium measures corresponding to the φ . Therefore the uniqueness of the extremal measure in (1.3) is equivalent to the existence of the Gâteaux derivative $\lambda'(\varphi)$.

Now we present one more statement explaining the structure of dynamical potentials.

Proposition 1.4 *If a function $S(\mu)$ is bounded above on $M_\alpha(X)$ then (1.3) determines a dynamical potential.*

Proof of this fact reduces to the trivial verification of all conditions defining a dynamical potential and so we leave it to the reader.

Since dual entropy satisfies $S(\mu) \leq \lambda(0)$, we see from (1.3) that $\lambda(\varphi) \leq \sup_{M_\alpha(X)} \mu(\varphi) + \lambda(0)$. On the other hand, if $S(\mu) \equiv \lambda(0)$ then by means of (1.3) we obtain the dynamical potential of the form $\lambda(\varphi) = \sup_{M_\alpha(X)} \mu(\varphi) + \lambda(0)$. The previous estimate shows that this $\lambda(\varphi)$ is maximal among all dynamical potentials with fixed value of $\lambda(0)$.

2 Phase algebras

Let L be a vector space over $\mathbb{R}$. Recall that a *cone* in L is a subset $K \subset L$ that is convex, homogeneous (if $v \in K$ and $t \geq 0$ then $tv \in K$), and antisymmetric (if $v \in K$ and $-v \in K$ then $v = 0$). Every cone K determines a partial order on L : we say that $u \leq v$ iff $v - u \in K$. In particular, vectors from the cone are called *positive*. A real vector space with fixed cone is said to be *semiordered*. A linear functional on the semiordered space is called positive if it is nonnegative on the cone. A linear operator on the semiordered space is called positive if it maps the cone into itself.

A *semiordered algebra* is an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with fixed cone $\mathcal{A}_+ \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that the product of any two positive elements is also positive. For example, if $\mathcal{A}$ is an arbitrary algebra of functions on a set X then it is naturally semiordered by the cone of nonnegative functions. In particular, for any compact set X the corresponding algebra $C(X)$ is semiordered. Evidently, each subalgebra $\mathcal{B}$ of a semiordered algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is semiordered too (by the cone $\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{A}_+$).

A semiordered algebra $\mathcal{C}$ with unit we shall call a *phase algebra* if there exists a Hausdorff compact set X such that $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic (including the order) to some dense

(relative to the supremum norm) subalgebra in $C(X)$. This X we shall call the *support* or the *phase space* of the phase algebra $\mathcal{C}$.

Proposition 2.1 *The support of a phase algebra is defined uniquely up to a homeomorphism.*

Proof. Assume that a phase algebra $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic (including the order) to some dense subalgebras $\mathcal{C}_X \subset C(X)$ and $\mathcal{C}_Y \subset C(Y)$, where X and Y are compact. Then $\mathcal{C}_X$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_Y$ including the order. Under this isomorphism the unit function has to go to the unit function. Hence every constant function goes to the same constant function. But since the isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}_X$ and $\mathcal{C}_Y$ preserves the order, it follows that it preserves the supremum norm. By continuity it extends up to the metric isomorphism between $C(X)$ and $C(Y)$. By the Gelfand–Naimark theorem each compact set X is homeomorphic to the space of maximal ideals in $C(X)$. Therefore the isomorphism between $C(X)$ and $C(Y)$ generates a homeomorphism between X and Y . $\square$

Proposition 2.2 *Every positive linear functional on a phase algebra is generated by a certain Borel measure on the support of the algebra.*

Proof. Without loss of generality it is sufficient to consider a positive linear functional μ on a phase algebra $\mathcal{C}$ dense in $C(X)$. In this case for any $f \in \mathcal{C}$ we have $\mu(f) \leq \mu(\max f) = \mu(1) \max f$ and, on the other hand, $\mu(f) \geq \mu(\min f) = \mu(1) \min f$. So μ is continuous relative to the supremum norm on $\mathcal{C}$. By the continuity it extends to $C(X)$ preserving the positiveness. But by the Riesz theorem each positive linear functional μ on $C(X)$ is identified with a certain Borel measure μ on X so that $\mu(f) \equiv \int_X f d\mu$. $\square$

Proposition 2.3 *Suppose μ is a positive linear functional on a phase algebra $\mathcal{C}$. Then the functional $\lambda(\varphi) = \ln \mu(e^\varphi)$ is convex with respect to $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality it can be assumed that $\mathcal{C}$ is a dense subalgebra in $C(X)$ and μ is a Borel measure on X . So the expression $\mu(e^\varphi)$ is well defined even if $e^\varphi \notin \mathcal{C}$. It is easy to see that the function $\mu(fg)$ of the pair $(f, g) \in \mathcal{C}^2$ is bilinear, symmetric, and positive definite. Therefore it satisfies the Cauchy–Bunyakovskii inequality $\mu(fg)^2 \leq \mu(f^2)\mu(g^2)$. To prove the convexity of $\lambda(\varphi)$ it is enough to check that the restriction of $\lambda(\varphi)$ to any straight line $\{\varphi_t = \varphi_0 + t\varphi\}$ is convex with respect to $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us differentiate this restriction twice in t :

$$\frac{d\lambda(\varphi_t)}{dt} = \frac{\mu(e^{\varphi_t}\varphi)}{\mu(e^{\varphi_t})}, \quad \frac{d^2\lambda(\varphi_t)}{dt^2} = \frac{\mu(e^{\varphi_t}\varphi^2)\mu(e^{\varphi_t}) - \mu(e^{\varphi_t}\varphi)^2}{\mu(e^{\varphi_t})^2}.$$

By the Cauchy–Bunyakovskii inequality, $\mu(e^{\varphi_t/2} \cdot e^{\varphi_t/2}\varphi)^2 \leq \mu(e^{\varphi_t})\mu(e^{\varphi_t}\varphi^2)$. It is seen from here that $d^2\lambda(\varphi_t)/dt^2 \geq 0$. Hence $\lambda(\varphi)$ is convex. $\square$

Now consider the question what kind of algebras are phase. One important class of phase algebras is provided by

Proposition 2.4 *Suppose Y is a Hausdorff compact set and $\mathcal{I}$ is a closed ideal in $C(Y)$. Then the quotient algebra $C(Y)/\mathcal{I}$ is phase.*

Proof. Denote by X the annihilator of $\mathcal{I}$. In other words, $X = \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{I}} f^{-1}(0)$. This X is closed and so compact. The restriction of functions from Y to X generates the algebraic homomorphism $\pi: C(Y) \rightarrow C(X)$. By the Brauer–Urysohn theorem any function $f \in C(X)$ can be extended up to a continuous function on Y . Therefore π is a surjection. On the other hand, any closed ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset C(Y)$ coincides with the set of functions vanishing

on its annihilator X . Hence $\mathcal{I}$ is the kernel of π . From here it follows that $C(Y)/\mathcal{I} \cong C(X)$. $\square$

In fact we are able to formulate a necessary and sufficient condition for a semiordered algebra to be phase. To this end consider a semiordered algebra $\mathcal{C}$ with cone $\mathcal{C}_+$ and positive unit $I \in \mathcal{C}_+$. An element $a \in \mathcal{C}$ we shall call *bounded* if there exists a number $t > 0$ such that $-tI \leq a \leq tI$. From the definition of the phase algebra we see that it consists of bounded elements and is commutative and the intersection of the positive cone with any affine straight line is closed. It turns out that the inverse is valid as well.

Theorem 2.5 *If a semiordered Abelian algebra $\mathcal{C}$ has a positive unit $I \in \mathcal{C}_+$ and consists of bounded elements and the intersection of $\mathcal{C}_+$ with any affine straight line is closed, then the algebra $\mathcal{C}$ is phase.*

This theorem can be treated as a real analog of Gelfand–Naimark’s theorem about structure of an Abelian C^* -algebra with unit [7]. It will be proved in the next section. Theorem 2.5 provides at least two important examples of phase algebras. First of them is any algebra $\mathcal{C}$ consisting of bounded real-valued functions on an arbitrary set X and containing the identical unit. The second is the set of essentially bounded functions $L^\infty(X, \mu)$ on any measure space (X, μ) .

3 Proof of the real analog of Gelfand–Naimark’s theorem

Consider the algebra $\mathcal{C}$ from Theorem 2.5. Set

$$\|a\| = \inf\{t > 0 \mid -tI \leq a \leq tI\}, \quad a \in \mathcal{C}. \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.1 *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.5 the function (3.1) is a norm on $\mathcal{C}$. The completion of the cone $\mathcal{C}_+$ in this norm is a cone as well (in the completion of $\mathcal{C}$).*

Proof. If we regard $\mathcal{C}$ as a vector space then, evidently, (3.1) is a seminorm on it. Assume that $\|a\| = 0$. In this case it follows from (3.1) that $tI + a \in \mathcal{C}_+$ and $tI - a \in \mathcal{C}_+$ for all $t > 0$. Then by the condition of Theorem 2.5 we have $a \in \mathcal{C}_+$ and $-a \in \mathcal{C}_+$. Therefore $a = 0$. This proves that $\|a\|$ is a norm on the vector space $\mathcal{C}$.

Further, assume that $\|a\| < t$ and $\|b\| < s$. Then $tI \pm a \in \mathcal{C}_+$ and $sI \pm b \in \mathcal{C}_+$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 2tsI - 2ab &= (tI - a)(sI + b) + (tI + a)(sI - b) \in \mathcal{C}_+, \\ 2tsI + 2ab &= (tI + a)(sI + b) + (tI - a)(sI - b) \in \mathcal{C}_+. \end{aligned}$$

So we see that $-tsI \leq ab \leq tsI$. Hence $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{C}$. This proves that $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on the algebra $\mathcal{C}$.

Let $[\mathcal{C}_+]$ be the completion of $\mathcal{C}_+$ in the norm. Obviously, $[\mathcal{C}_+]$ is convex and homogeneous. By (3.1), we have $\|a\| \leq \|a + b\|$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{C}_+$. This inequality still remains in power for $a, b \in [\mathcal{C}_+]$. Therefore, if $a \in [\mathcal{C}_+]$ and at the same time $-a \in [\mathcal{C}_+]$ then $\|a\| \leq \|a - a\| = 0$. Hence $[\mathcal{C}_+]$ is a cone. $\square$

Lemma 3.2 *For each natural n ,*

$$x^2 = 4^{-n} \sum_{i=-n}^n \frac{i^2}{n^2} C_{2n}^{n+i} (1+x)^{n+i} (1-x)^{n-i} - \frac{1-x^2}{2n}.$$

Proof is by direct calculation. Note that

$$4^n = ((1+x) + (1-x))^{2n} = \sum_{i=-n}^n C_{2n}^{n+i} (1+x)^{n+i} (1-x)^{n-i}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 4^n - \sum_{i=-n}^n \frac{i^2}{n^2} C_{2n}^{n+i} (1+x)^{n+i} (1-x)^{n-i} &= \sum_{i=-n}^n \left(1 - \frac{i^2}{n^2}\right) C_{2n}^{n+i} (1+x)^{n+i} (1-x)^{n-i} = \\ &= \sum_{i=-n+1}^{n-1} \frac{(n+i)(n-i)}{n^2} \frac{(2n)!}{(n+i)!(n-i)!} (1+x)^{n+i} (1-x)^{n-i} = \\ &= \frac{2n(2n-1)}{n^2} (1-x^2) \sum_{i=-n+1}^{n-1} C_{2n-2}^{n+i-1} (1+x)^{n+i-1} (1-x)^{n-i-1} = \\ &= \left(4 - \frac{2}{n}\right) (1-x^2) 4^{n-1} = 4^n \left(1 - x^2 - \frac{1-x^2}{2n}\right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $[\mathcal{C}]$ the completion of $\mathcal{C}$ in the norm (3.1) and by $[\mathcal{C}_+]$ the closure of $\mathcal{C}_+$ in the same norm. For each $a \in \mathcal{C}$ define two numbers: $\sup a = \min\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid a \leq tI\}$ and $\inf a = \max\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid a \geq tI\}$, and let $I_a = [\inf a, \sup a]$.

Lemma 3.3 *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.5 for each $a \in \mathcal{C}$ there exists a unique algebraic homomorphism $\pi_a : C(I_a) \rightarrow [\mathcal{C}]$ that maps nonnegative functions to $[\mathcal{C}_+]$ and takes any polynomial $P(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_nx^n$ to $P(a) = c_0I + c_1a + \dots + c_na^n$.*

Proof. First let us check that the square of any element $b \in \mathcal{C}$ is positive. Without loss of generality, it can be assumed that $-I \leq b \leq I$. Then by Lemma 3.2,

$$b^2 + \frac{I - b^2}{2n} = 4^{-n} \sum_{i=-n}^n \frac{i^2}{n^2} C_{2n}^{n+i} (I+b)^{n+i} (I-b)^{n-i} \in \mathcal{C}_+.$$

By condition, the intersection of $\mathcal{C}_+$ with any affine straight line is closed. Therefore, we get $b^2 \in \mathcal{C}_+$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Thereupon let us prove that if a polynomial $P(x)$ is nonnegative on the segment $I_a = [\inf a, \sup a]$, then $P(a) \in \mathcal{C}_+$. Indeed, in this case there exists a factorization $P(x) = c \prod_i (x - \alpha_i) \prod_j (\beta_j - x) \prod_k ((x - \gamma_k)^2 + \delta_k^2)$ in which $c \geq 0$, $\alpha_i \leq \inf a$, and $\beta_j \geq \sup a$. Hence, $P(a) = c \prod_i (a - \alpha_i I) \prod_j (\beta_j I - a) \prod_k ((a - \gamma_k I)^2 + \delta_k^2 I)$ is a product of factors lying in $\mathcal{C}_+$ and so it also belongs to $\mathcal{C}_+$.

From here it follows that if $-t \leq P(x) \leq t$ on the segment I_a for some $t > 0$ then $-tI \leq P(a) \leq tI$. Thus $\|P(a)\| \leq \sup_{I_a} |P(x)|$. This proves continuity of the mapping $P(x) \mapsto P(a)$. By the continuity this mapping extends up to a positive algebraic homomorphism $\pi_a : C(I_a) \rightarrow [\mathcal{C}]$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.5. For each $a \in \mathcal{C}$ define the segment $I_a = [\inf a, \sup a]$ and the corresponding homomorphism $\pi_a : C(I_a) \rightarrow [\mathcal{C}]$ from Lemma 3.3. Consider the Cartesian product $Y = \prod_{a \in \mathcal{C}} I_a$. By Tychonoff's theorem it is compact in the cylinder topology. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the subalgebra in $C(Y)$ consisting of various finite sums of

products $\sum_{i=1}^m f_1^i(x_1) \cdots f_n^i(x_n)$, where $x_j \in I_{a_j}$ and $f_j^i \in C(I_{a_j})$. This subalgebra contains the identical unity and separates points of Y . By Stone's theorem $\mathcal{A}$ is dense in $C(Y)$. Define an algebraic homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [\mathcal{C}]$ by means of the formula $\pi(\sum_{i=1}^m f_1^i(x_1) \cdots f_n^i(x_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^m \pi_{a_1}(f_1^i) \cdots \pi_{a_n}(f_n^i)$, where π_{a_j} are the homomorphisms from Lemma 3.3.

Assume that a function $F \in \mathcal{A}$ is nonnegative. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ the sum $F + \varepsilon$ may be represented as $F + \varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^k g_1^i \cdots g_n^i$, where all $g_j^i \in C(I_{a_j})$ are nonnegative. This will be proved below in Theorem 3.4. Combining this representation with Lemma 3.3, we obtain $\pi(F + \varepsilon) \in [\mathcal{C}_+]$, which implies $\pi(F) \in [\mathcal{C}_+]$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Hence the homomorphism π is positive. If a function $F \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies $-t \leq F \leq t$, $t > 0$, then $-tI \leq \pi(F) \leq tI$. Therefore $\|\pi(F)\|$ does not exceed the supremum norm of the F . By continuity π uniquely extends from $\mathcal{A}$ to $C(Y)$ preserving positiveness.

Denote by $\mathcal{I}$ the kernel of the homomorphism $\pi : C(Y) \rightarrow [\mathcal{C}]$. Obviously, $\mathcal{I}$ is a closed ideal in $C(Y)$. Let X be its annihilator: $X = \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{I}} f^{-1}(0)$. The set X is closed and so compact. Define a homomorphism $\rho : C(X) \rightarrow [\mathcal{C}]$ in the following way. By the Brauer–Urysohn theorem any $f \in C(X)$ can be extended up to a continuous function F on Y . Set $\rho(f) = \pi(F)$. It is well known that every closed ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset C(Y)$ coincides with the set of functions vanishing on its annihilator X . Therefore the homomorphism ρ is well defined and injective.

For any $b \in \mathcal{C}$ define the function $F_b \in C(Y)$ by the rule $F_b(\{x_a \in I_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{C}}) = x_b$. Let f_b be the restriction of F_b to X . Then $\rho(f_b) = \pi(F_b) = b$ and hence $\mathcal{C} \subset \rho(C(X))$. On the other hand, the functions f_b , where $b \in \mathcal{C}$, separate points of X . So by Stone's theorem the algebra $\rho^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$ is dense in $C(X)$. Since the homomorphism ρ is injective, its inverse $\rho^{-1} : b \mapsto f_b$ establishes an isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}$ and $\rho^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$. It is easily seen that this isomorphism is positive. Indeed, by construction the functions F_b and f_b take values in the segment $I_b = [\inf b, \sup b]$. And if $b \in \mathcal{C}_+$ then $\inf b \geq 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.5. $\square$

Theorem 3.4 *Suppose on the Cartesian product $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ of Hausdorff compact sets X_i there is a nonnegative function F of the form $F = \sum_{i=1}^m f_1^i \cdots f_n^i$, where $f_j^i \in C(X_j)$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist nonnegative functions $g_j^i \in C(X_j)$ such that $F + \varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^k g_1^i \cdots g_n^i$.*

Let us denote by $\mathcal{A}_\#$ the set of all finite sums of the form $\sum_{i=1}^k g_1^i \cdots g_n^i$, where all $g_j^i \in C(X_j)$ are nonnegative. To prove Theorem 3.4 we need the following

Lemma 3.5 *If under the conditions of Theorem 3.4 the function F has the form $F = f_1 \cdots f_n$, where $f_j \in C(X_j)$, and $\varepsilon \geq \|f_1\| \times \cdots \times \|f_n\|$, then $F + \varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}_\#$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality it can be assumed that $\varepsilon = \|f_1\| \times \cdots \times \|f_n\|$. Set $f_j^+ = \max\{0, f_j\}$ and $f_j^- = \max\{0, -f_j\}$. Then $f_j = f_j^+ - f_j^-$. Accordingly, the F is presented as a sum of 2^n terms of the form $\pm f_1^\pm \cdots f_n^\pm$. Obviously, the functions f_j^+ , f_j^- and $g_j = \|f_j\| - f_j^+ - f_j^-$ are nonnegative and continuous. Hence by distributivity $\varepsilon = (f_1^+ + f_1^- + g_1) \times \cdots \times (f_n^+ + f_n^- + g_n)$ is a sum of 3^n terms, each of them being a product of n nonnegative functions. And all the products $f_1^\pm \cdots f_n^\pm$ are contained among these 3^n terms. Therefore $F + \varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}_\#$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.4. Take any $\varepsilon > 0$. For each $j = 1, \dots, n$ choose a continuous partition of unity $\varphi_j^1, \dots, \varphi_j^{N_j}$ on X_j such that the oscillations of the functions f_j^i on the

supports of φ_j^k do not exceed ε . In the support of each φ_j^k select a point $x_j^k \in X_j$. Put

$$\eta_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} -\varepsilon, & x < -\varepsilon \\ x, & -\varepsilon \leq x \leq \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon, & x > \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Let us consider the functions $g_j^{ik}(x) = \eta_\varepsilon(f_j^i(x) - f_j^i(x_j^k))$ on X_j . By construction $\|g_j^{ik}\| \leq \varepsilon$ and $f_j^i(x) = g_j^{ik}(x) + f_j^i(x_j^k)$ on the supp φ_j^k . Therefore $f_j^i \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{N_j} (g_j^{ik} + f_j^i(x_j^k)) \varphi_j^k$.

Suppose $t = \max_{i,j} \|f_j^i\|$. Let us fix indices $k_1, \dots, k_n$ and consider the product $(g_1^{ik_1} + f_1^{ik_1}(x_1^{k_1})) \times \dots \times (g_n^{ik_n} + f_n^{ik_n}(x_n^{k_n}))$. Decompose it by distributivity into the sum of 2^n terms and apply Lemma 3.5 to each of them except the last one. As a result we see that

$$\prod_{j=1}^n (g_j^{ik_j} + f_j^{ik_j}(x_j^{k_j})) - \prod_{j=1}^n f_j^{ik_j}(x_j^{k_j}) + (2^n - 1)\varepsilon(t + \varepsilon)^{n-1} \in \mathcal{A}_\#.$$

Multiply the last expression by $\varphi_1^{k_1} \dots \varphi_n^{k_n}$ and sum up over all indices $i, k_1, \dots, k_n$. By construction the sum will lie in $\mathcal{A}_\#$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^n \sum_{k_j=1}^{N_j} (g_j^{ik_j} + f_j^{ik_j}(x_j^{k_j})) \varphi_j^{k_j} - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k_1 \dots k_n} \prod_{j=1}^n f_j^{ik_j}(x_j^{k_j}) \varphi_j^{k_j} \\ & + (2^n - 1)\varepsilon(t + \varepsilon)^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^n \sum_{k_j=1}^{N_j} \varphi_j^{k_j} \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^m f_1^i \dots f_n^i - \sum_{k_1 \dots k_n} \sum_{i=1}^m f_1^i(x_1^{k_1}) \dots f_n^i(x_n^{k_n}) \varphi_1^{k_1} \dots \varphi_n^{k_n} + (2^n - 1)\varepsilon(t + \varepsilon)^{n-1}m \\ & = F - \sum_{k_1 \dots k_n} F(x_1^{k_1}, \dots, x_n^{k_n}) \varphi_1^{k_1} \dots \varphi_n^{k_n} + (2^n - 1)\varepsilon(t + \varepsilon)^{n-1}m \in \mathcal{A}_\#. \end{aligned}$$

Since F and φ_j^k are nonnegative and $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary this implies Theorem 3.4. $\square$

4 Positive algebras

Assume that a semiordered vector space L with cone K is supplied with a norm. We shall call this norm *monotone* if $\|u\| \leq \|u + v\|$ for all $u, v \in K$. The semiordered vector space with monotone norm will be called *positive*.

At once note that the definition of the positive space L stays in power if we replace the positive cone K by its closure $[K]$. In this case the closure of K will stay antisymmetric: if $v \in [K]$ and $-v \in [K]$ then $\|v\| \leq \|v - v\| = 0$ (by monotonicity of the norm).

Examples of the positive spaces are the spaces of integrable functions $L^p(X, \mu)$, where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and the spaces of summable sequences l^p . On the other hand, the space of differentiable functions with the cone of nonnegative functions is not positive.

Proposition 4.1 *If v is a positive vector in a positive space L then there exists a positive linear functional $\nu: L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|\nu\| = 1$ and $\nu(v) = \|v\|$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality it can be assumed that $\|v\| = 1$. Denote by K the positive cone in L . Consider two convex sets: the open unit ball $B = \{u \in L : \|u\| < 1\}$ and the shifted cone $K_v = v + K$. From the definition of the positive space it follows that $B \cap K_v = \emptyset$. By the separability theorem there exists a linear functional ν on L such that $\nu(u) \leq 1$ for all $u \in B$ and $\nu(u) \geq 1$ for all $u \in K_v$. Obviously, $\|\nu\| = \nu(v) = 1$. Besides, for any $u \in K$ we have $\nu(u) = \nu(v + u) - \nu(v) \geq 0$. $\square$

Recall that an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be normed if $\mathcal{A}$ is a normed linear space and $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ contains the unity I then in addition $\|I\| = 1$ is required (which always can be provided by mere replacement of the norm by an equivalent one).

Definition. We shall call a real algebra $\mathcal{A}$ *positive* if it is normed, semiordered, has a positive unit, and the norm on $\mathcal{A}$ is monotone.

Example 1 Any algebra of functions on an arbitrary domain that contains the identical unity and is supplied with the sup norm is positive.

Example 2 Let X be a topological space. Then the algebra of bounded linear operators on $C(X)$ is positive. Indeed, if two linear operators A, B on $C(X)$ are positive (take nonnegative functions to nonnegative ones) then $\|A\| = \sup A(1) \leq \sup(A(1) + B(1)) = \|A + B\|$.

Example 3 Let (X, μ) be a measure space. Then the algebra of bounded linear operators on the space $L^p(X, \mu)$, where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, is positive.

Proof. For any $f \in L^p(X, \mu)$ define the functions $f^+ = \max\{f, 0\}$ and $f^- = \max\{-f, 0\}$. Then $f = f^+ - f^-$ and $|f| = f^+ + f^-$. Suppose A is a positive linear operator on $L^p(X, \mu)$. Obviously, $Af^+ \geq 0$ and $Af^- \geq 0$, and hence $|Af| = |Af^+ - Af^-| \leq Af^+ + Af^- = A|f|$. On the other hand $\|f\| = \| |f| \|$. Therefore,

$$\|A\| = \sup_{f \in L^p(X, \mu)} \frac{\|Af\|}{\|f\|} = \sup_{f \geq 0} \frac{\|Af\|}{\|f\|}.$$

From the last equality we see that if operators A and B are positive then $\|A\| \leq \|A + B\|$. $\square$

Example 4 Assume that L is a semiordered and normed vector space and $\mathcal{A}_+$ is the set of all positive bounded linear operators on L . Denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the linear hull of $\mathcal{A}_+$. In other words, $\mathcal{A} = \{A - B \mid A, B \in \mathcal{A}_+\}$. For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ define the seminorm

$$|A| = \inf\{\|A'\| \mid A' \pm A \in \mathcal{A}_+\} = \inf\{\|A'\| \mid -A' \leq A \leq A'\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{I} = \{A \in \mathcal{A} \mid |A| = 0\}$. Then the quotient algebra $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$ with norm $|\cdot|$ is positive.

Proof. If $A, B \in \mathcal{A}_+$ then $|A| \leq |A + B|$ by the definition of the seminorm. Assume that for some $A, B, A', B' \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $A' \pm A \in \mathcal{A}_+$ and $B' \pm B \in \mathcal{A}_+$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2A'B' - 2AB &= (A' - A)(B' + B) + (A' + A)(B' - B) \in \mathcal{A}_+, \\ 2A'B' + 2AB &= (A' + A)(B' + B) + (A' - A)(B' - B) \in \mathcal{A}_+. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $A'B' \pm AB \in \mathcal{A}_+$. It follows from here that $|AB| \leq |A| |B|$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. Therefore $|\cdot|$ is a seminorm on the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and is a norm on the algebra $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{I}$. $\square$

Example 5 We shall say that a norm on a semiordered vector space L is pseudomonotone if there exists a positive constant C such that $\|v\| \leq C \|u\|$, provided $u \geq v \geq 0$. It is known that for any pseudomonotone norm there exists an equivalent monotone norm. It can be given by $\|u\| = \sup_{\nu \in V} |\nu(u)|$, where V is the set of positive linear functionals on L with unit norm (see [12]). Unfortunately, in the case of semiordered algebras the estimate $\|uv\| \leq \|u\| \|v\|$ may fail for this norm. So it would be interesting to know whether there is a monotone algebraic norm equivalent to the pseudomonotone norm on a semiordered algebra.

Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a positive algebra with closed cone $\mathcal{A}_+$. Obviously, every subalgebra $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}$ containing the unity is also positive (with the cone $\mathcal{C}_+ = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{A}_+$). A subalgebra $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}$ will be called below *phase* if it is commutative, contains the unity of $\mathcal{A}$, and consists of bounded (in the sense of definition given in Section 2) elements. From Theorem 2.5 it follows that any phase subalgebra of a positive algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with closed cone $\mathcal{A}_+$ is phase in the sense of definition from Section 2 as well. In other words, it is isomorphic to a dense subalgebra in $C(X)$, where X is a Hausdorff compact set, which is uniquely determined by the phase algebra and named its support.

Example 6 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the set of bounded linear operators on $C(Y)$, where $C(Y)$ is the algebra of bounded continuous functions on a certain topological space Y . Then $C(Y)$ can be considered as a phase subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ (under the condition that each $f \in C(Y)$ is identified with the operator of multiplication by f).

Example 7 Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is the set of bounded linear operators on $L^p(Y, \mu)$, where (Y, μ) is a measure space. Then $L^\infty(Y, \mu)$ is a phase subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 4.2 *If $\mathcal{A}$ is a complete in norm positive algebra with closed cone $\mathcal{A}_+$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is a phase subalgebra in it then for any $a \in \mathcal{A}_+$ the functional $\lambda(\varphi) = \ln \|e^\varphi a\|$ is convex with respect to $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. The phase subalgebra $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to a dense subalgebra in $C(X)$, where X is the support of $\mathcal{C}$. This isomorphism extends up to a positive continuous embedding of $C(X)$ into $\mathcal{A}$. So it follows that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$ the element $e^\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ is positive. Let V be the set of all positive linear functionals on $\mathcal{A}$ with unit norm. From Proposition 4.1 it follows that $\|e^\varphi a\| = \sup_{\nu \in V} \nu(e^\varphi a)$. For each $\nu \in V$ the functional $\nu(\cdot a)$ is positive. And by Proposition 2.3 the functional $\lambda_\nu(\varphi) = \ln \nu(e^\varphi a)$ is convex with respect to $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$. Therefore $\lambda(\varphi) = \sup_{\nu \in V} \lambda_\nu(\varphi)$ is convex with respect to φ too. $\square$

Any linear functional ν on a positive algebra $\mathcal{A}$ we can multiply by elements $a \in \mathcal{A}$ from the left and from the right. Namely, by definition put $\nu a(\cdot) = \nu(a \cdot)$ and $a\nu(\cdot) = \nu(\cdot a)$. If here ν and a are positive then the functionals νa and $a\nu$ are also positive. For any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ define its spectral radius $r(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\|a^n\|}$.

Theorem 4.3 *If a is a positive element of a positive algebra $\mathcal{A}$ then there exists a nonzero positive linear functional $\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $a\mu = r(a)\mu$ and $\|\mu\| = \mu(I)$. Similarly, there exists a positive linear functional μ' such that $\mu'a = r(a)\mu'$ and $\|\mu'\| = \mu'(I)$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is complete in norm and that the cone $\mathcal{A}_+$ is closed. Consider $b = r(a)^{-1}a$. The spectral radius of the b is equal to one. Hence for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$ the series $R_\theta = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i b^i$ converges in norm and R_θ is a positive element of $\mathcal{A}$. By positiveness of all the summands in R_θ the norm of R_θ does not decrease as θ grows. Let $N = \lim_{\theta \nearrow 1} \|R_\theta\|$. First assume that $N = \infty$. By Proposition 4.1 for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$ there exists a positive linear functional ν on $\mathcal{A}$ such

that $\|\nu\| = 1$ and $\nu(R_\theta) = \|R_\theta\|$. Set $\nu_\theta = \|R_\theta\|^{-1}R_\theta\nu$. Then $\|\nu_\theta\| \leq 1$ and at the same time $\nu_\theta(I) = \|R_\theta\|^{-1}\nu(R_\theta) = 1$. Hence $\|\nu_\theta\| = 1$. Further, $R_\theta - \theta bR_\theta = I$. Therefore the norm $\|\nu_\theta - \theta b\nu_\theta\| = \|R_\theta\|^{-1}\|\nu\|$ tends to zero as $\theta \nearrow 1$. Since the unit ball in the dual space to $\mathcal{A}$ is compact in the $*$ -weak topology, the family ν_θ has a limit point as $\theta \nearrow 1$. This limit point is the desired positive functional μ .

Now assume that $N < \infty$. Then consider the sequence $P_n = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} i(2n-i)b^i$. Evidently,

$$\begin{aligned} P_n - bP_n &= \sum_{i=1}^{2n} [i(2n-i) - (i-1)(2n-i+1)]b^i = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (2n-2i+1)b^i - \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} (2i-2n-1)b^i. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

From the positiveness of $\mathcal{A}$ it follows that for any natural n and $m > n$ the inequality $\|\sum_{i=n}^m b^i\| \leq N$ holds. So the norms of both sums in the right hand side of (4.1) do not exceed $2nN$. Consequently, $\|P_n - bP_n\| \leq 4nN$. On the other hand, $\|b^n\| \geq 1$ by the definition of the spectral radius. Therefore $\|P_n\| \geq \|n^2b^n\| \geq n^2$. The rest of the proof can be carried out similar to the case $N = \infty$, one should only replace the family R_θ by P_n and the parameter $\theta \nearrow 1$ by $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In fact, after the eigenfunctional μ is found it becomes clear that the case $N < \infty$ is impossible. Indeed, by construction $\mu(b^i) = (b^i\mu)(I) = 1$ and hence the norm $\|R_\theta\| \geq \mu(R_\theta) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \theta^i$ goes to infinity as $\theta \nearrow 1$.

The existence of the functional μ' can be proved in the same way. $\square$

5 Positive flows

Suppose $\alpha: X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous mapping of a Hausdorff compact set. It generates the shift $\alpha^*(f) = f \circ \alpha$ on $C(X)$. Evidently, this shift is positive and maps the unit function into itself. Now we shall show that the inverse statement is true as well.

Proposition 5.1 ([15]) *If a homomorphism $\delta: C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$, where X is a Hausdorff compact set, is positive and maps the unit function into itself, then there exists a continuous mapping $\alpha: X \rightarrow X$ such that $\delta(f) \equiv f \circ \alpha$.*

This statement is not new, but for the sake of completeness of the presentation we still give the proof. To each point $x \in X$ assign the set $\mathcal{I}_x = \{f \in C(X) \mid [\delta(f)](x) = 0\}$. Obviously, $\mathcal{I}_x$ is a maximal ideal in $C(X)$. Hence there exists a $y \in X$ such that $\mathcal{I}_x = \{f \in C(X) \mid f(y) = 0\}$. Set $\alpha(x) = y$. If $f(y) = c$ then $f - c \in \mathcal{I}_x$ and so $[\delta(f)](x) - c = [\delta(f - c)](x) = 0$. Therefore $\delta(f) \equiv f \circ \alpha$. This identity automatically yields the continuity of α . $\square$

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a phase algebra. Any positive homomorphism $\delta: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ that maps the unit function into itself we shall call the *shift*. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is dense subalgebra in $C(X)$, where X is the support of $\mathcal{C}$. Then by continuity the shift δ extends uniquely from $\mathcal{C}$ to $C(X)$ and by Proposition 5.1 it has the form $\delta(f) = f \circ \alpha$, where α is a certain continuous mapping of X to itself. Thus every shift $\delta: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ generates automatically a dynamical system (X, α) .

Definition. A (discrete time) *positive flow* is a quadruple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, E, \delta)$ consisting of a positive Banach (i.e., complete in norm) algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with closed cone $\mathcal{A}_+$, a phase subalgebra $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}$, a fixed element $E \in \mathcal{A}_+$, and a shift $\delta: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ satisfying one of the two following *homological identities*: $Ef = \delta(f)E$ (the covariant form) or $fE = E\delta(f)$ (the contravariant form) for all $f \in \mathcal{C}$.

There is no much difference between the covariant and contravariant forms of the homological identity. Either of them one can convert into the other having redefined the multiplication in $\mathcal{A}$ by the rule $a * b = ba$. So in the sequel we shall use mostly the covariant form.

If a positive flow $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, E, \delta)$ is fixed then the elements of $\mathcal{A}$ we shall call *operators* and the distinguished positive element E we shall call the *evolution operator*. The support of the phase algebra $\mathcal{C}$ we shall call the *phase space* of the positive flow and denote it by X . Once and for all let us assume that the algebra $\mathcal{C}$ is naturally embedded in $C(X)$. The shift δ automatically generates a continuous mapping $\alpha: X \rightarrow X$ so that $\delta(f) = f \circ \alpha$ for all $f \in \mathcal{C} \subset C(X)$. Therefore the (covariant) homological identity takes the form $Ef = (f \circ \alpha)E$. Below, if we wish to emphasize that the shift $\delta: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is generated by a continuous mapping $\alpha: X \rightarrow X$, we shall use the notation α^* instead of δ .

Let us give several examples of positive flows.

Example 1 Suppose $\alpha: X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous mapping of a topological space X to itself. Then one can regard the algebra of bounded linear operators on $C(X)$ as $\mathcal{A}$, the $C(X)$ as $\mathcal{C}$, the weighted shift operator $Ef = e^\varphi f \circ \alpha$, where $\varphi \in C(X)$ is fixed, as E , and the shift homomorphism $\alpha^*(f) = f \circ \alpha$ as δ .

Example 2 Suppose $\alpha: X \rightarrow X$ is a measurable mapping of a measurable space supplied with an α -invariant measure μ . Then one can regard the algebra of bounded linear operators on $L^p(X, \mu)$, where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, as $\mathcal{A}$, the algebra $L^\infty(X, \mu)$ as $\mathcal{C}$, the weighted shift operator $Ef = e^\varphi f \circ \alpha$, provided $\varphi \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$ is fixed, as E , and the shift homomorphism $\alpha^*(f) = f \circ \alpha$ as δ .

Example 3 Any stochastic process with discrete time and phase space X one may think of as the trajectory space $\bar{X} = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \mid x_i \in X\}$ supplied with the cylinder σ -algebra and the probability distribution $\mathbf{P}$. Then one can regard the algebra of continuous linear operators on $L^1(\bar{X}, \mathbf{P})$ as $\mathcal{A}$, the $L^\infty(\bar{X}, \mathbf{P})$ as $\mathcal{C}$, and the shift $Ef(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = \delta(f)(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = f(x_2, x_3, \dots)$ as E and δ . In essence, this example is a special case of the previous one.

Example 4 Often more profound results come out if one consider a stochastic process with stationary (invariant under shifts of the time axis) probability distribution $\mathbf{P}$ on the trajectory space $\bar{X} = X^\mathbb{N}$. Then one can define E as the conditional mathematical expectation $Ef(x_1, x_2, \dots) = \mathbf{E}(f(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \mid x_1, x_2, \dots)$ on the space $L^1(\bar{X}, \mathbf{P})$ and take the shift δ on $\mathcal{C} = L^\infty(\bar{X}, \mathbf{P})$ from the previous example. In this case the homological identity takes the contravariant form $E(\delta(f)g) = fEg$.

Proposition 5.2 Suppose $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, E, \delta)$ is a positive flow with phase space X . Then there exists a unique algebraic homomorphism $i_C: C(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ that is continuous, injective, and coincides with the identical embedding on $\mathcal{C} \subset C(X)$.

Proof. Consider an arbitrary function $f \in \mathcal{C} \subset C(X)$. Put $t = \max |f|$. Then $0 \leq t \pm f \leq 2t$ and by monotonicity of the norm on $\mathcal{A}$,

$$2\|f\| = \|(t + f) - (t - f)\| \leq \|t + f\| + \|t - f\| \leq \|2t\| + \|2t\| = 4\max |f|.$$

Therefore the identical embedding $i_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is continuous with respect to the sup norm on $\mathcal{C}$. By the continuity it uniquely extends onto $C(X)$. Obviously, the extended homomorphism is positive. Assume that $f \in C(X)$ and $i_{\mathcal{C}}(f) = 0$. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is dense in $C(X)$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a function $g \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $-\varepsilon \leq g - f \leq \varepsilon$. Applying $i_{\mathcal{C}}$ to these inequalities we obtain $-\varepsilon \leq g \leq \varepsilon$. Hence $-2\varepsilon \leq f \leq 2\varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, f is zero and so $i_{\mathcal{C}}$ is injective. $\square$

Now consider a positive flow $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, E, \alpha^*)$ with phase space X . Suppose $i_{\mathcal{C}} : C(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is the homomorphism from Proposition 5.2. In the sequel we will identify functions $f \in C(X)$ with their images $i_{\mathcal{C}}(f) \in \mathcal{A}$ and will presuppose that $C(X)$ is naturally embedded into $\mathcal{A}$. For each $\varphi \in C(X)$ let us define the operator $E_{\varphi} = e^{\varphi} E \in \mathcal{A}$. It is easy to see that for all $f \in C(X)$ we have the homological identity

$$E_{\varphi} f = e^{\varphi} E f = (f \circ \alpha) e^{\varphi} E = (f \circ \alpha) E_{\varphi}. \quad (5.1)$$

Introduce the notation $S_n \varphi = \varphi + \varphi \circ \alpha + \dots + \varphi \circ \alpha^{n-1}$. Then (5.1) yields $E_{\varphi}^n = e^{\varphi} E e^{\varphi} E \dots e^{\varphi} E = e^{S_n \varphi} E^n$. For any function $\varphi \in C(X)$ define the *spectral potential*

$$\lambda(\varphi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \|E_{\varphi}^n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \|e^{S_n \varphi} E^n\|. \quad (5.2)$$

In other words, $\lambda(\varphi)$ is the logarithm of the spectral radius of E_{φ} .

Theorem 5.3 *The spectral potential of any positive flow is monotone (if $\varphi \leq \psi$ then $\lambda(\varphi) \leq \lambda(\psi)$), additive homogeneous (if $t \in \mathbb{R}$ then $\lambda(\varphi + t) = \lambda(\varphi) + t$), continuous (satisfies the Lipschitz condition $|\lambda(\varphi) - \lambda(\psi)| \leq \max |\varphi - \psi|$), strongly α -invariant (for all $\varphi, \psi \in C(X)$ satisfies $\lambda(\varphi + \psi) = \lambda(\varphi + \psi \circ \alpha)$), and convex with respect to $\varphi \in C(X)$.*

Proof. The monotonicity and additive homogeneity follow immediately from (5.2) and from the positiveness of $\mathcal{A}$. They imply the Lipschitz condition because $\lambda(\varphi) - \lambda(\psi) \leq \lambda(\psi + \max |\varphi - \psi|) - \lambda(\psi) = \max |\varphi - \psi|$. Note that always $|S_n(\varphi + \psi) - S_n(\varphi + \psi \circ \alpha)| = |\psi - \psi \circ \alpha^n| \leq 2 \sup |\psi|$. Therefore (5.2) yields the strong invariance of the spectral potential. Further, $S_n \varphi$ depends linearly on $\varphi \in C(X)$. By Proposition 4.2 the functional $\ln \|e^{S_n \varphi} E^n\|$ is convex with respect to $S_n \varphi$ and hence with respect to φ as well. Passing to the limit in (5.2) we see that $\lambda(\varphi)$ is also convex. $\square$

It follows from the Lipschitz condition for the spectral potential that it either is finite on all of $C(X)$ or $\lambda(\varphi) \equiv -\infty$. In the sequel we always suppose that the first possibility of the two takes place.

In essence Theorem 5.3 states that the spectral potential is a particular case of the dynamical potential of a dynamical system (X, α) . So the concepts of dual entropy, equilibrium measures, and the action functional are already defined for it (see Section 1).

6 The law of large numbers

We study the positive flow $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, E, \alpha^*)$ and the corresponding dynamical system (X, α) . Any positive continuous linear functional on the $\mathcal{A}$ we shall call a *state* of the flow. The restriction of a state to the subalgebra $C(X) \subset \mathcal{A}$ is also a positive linear functional. By the Riesz theorem the latter is identified with a Borel measure on X . So every state induces a measure on the phase space X . A state ν is called normalized if $\nu(1) = 1$. In this case the corresponding measure on X is probability.

Assume that there is some probability measure P on X . For any $f \in C(X)$ the sequence $\{f \circ \alpha^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ may be considered as a sequence of random variables with respect to P . This sequence is said to satisfy the law of large numbers if there exists a constant a such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left\{ \left| \frac{f + f \circ \alpha + \cdots + f \circ \alpha^{n-1}}{n} - a \right| > \varepsilon \right\} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

This is equivalent to the convergence of $\frac{1}{n} S_n f$ to a with respect to the measure P . And if $\frac{1}{n} S_n f$ converges to a almost everywhere, it is said to satisfy the strong law of large numbers. We will consider one more modification of the law of large numbers. Assume that $\{P_n\}$ is a sequence of probability distributions on X . We shall say that the sequence $\{f \circ \alpha^n\}$ satisfies the law of large numbers with respect to the distributions P_n if there exists a constant a such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n \left\{ \left| \frac{f + f \circ \alpha + \cdots + f \circ \alpha^{n-1}}{n} - a \right| > \varepsilon \right\} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Our next purpose is to prove the (strong) law of large numbers with respect to certain states of a positive flow. To be definite we consider below only covariant positive flows (although, of course, each of the statements placed below has a covariant analog).

Recall that any state ν of the flow $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, E, \alpha^*)$ we can multiply by operators $A \in \mathcal{A}$ from the right and from the left: by definition, $\nu A(\cdot) = \nu(A \cdot)$ and $A\nu(\cdot) = \nu(\cdot A)$. If A is positive then the products νA and $A\nu$ are states too. A *right eigen-state* of the positive flow corresponding to a function $\varphi \in C(X)$ is a state ν satisfying $\nu(\cdot E_\varphi) = e^{\lambda(\varphi)} \nu(\cdot)$. Similarly, a *left eigen-state* of the flow is defined by the condition $\nu(E_\varphi \cdot) = e^{\lambda(\varphi)} \nu(\cdot)$. If both these conditions take place simultaneously then the state ν will be referred to as *two-sided eigen-state*. In Theorem 4.3 it was proved that for any $\varphi \in C(X)$ there exist left and right eigen-states satisfying $\|\nu\| = \nu(1)$. But the existence of two-sided eigen-states is still an open problem. Unfortunately, I do not know any conditions that guarantee the existence of two-sided eigen-states for an arbitrary positive flow.

A state ν will be called *sensitive* with respect to $\varphi \in C(X)$ (or φ -sensitive), if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \nu(E_\varphi^n) = \lambda(\varphi). \quad (6.1)$$

For example, the left and right eigen-states corresponding to φ are φ -sensitive. If a set $V \subset C(X)$ is separable, it is easy to produce a state that is sensitive with respect to all $\varphi \in V$ (it has the form of a series of one-sided eigen-states corresponding to the elements of a countable dense subset in V).

Proposition 6.1 *Suppose a state ν of a positive flow is φ -sensitive. Then for any $\psi \in C(X)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists so large N that for all $n > N$,*

$$\frac{\nu(e^{S_n \psi} E_\varphi^n)}{\nu(E_\varphi^n)} \leq e^{n(\lambda(\varphi+\psi) - \lambda(\varphi) + \varepsilon)}. \quad (6.2)$$

Proof. By the homological identity, $e^{S_n \psi} E_\varphi^n = e^{S_n(\varphi+\psi)} E^n = (E_{\varphi+\psi})^n$. Therefore $\nu(e^{S_n \psi} E_\varphi^n) \leq \|\nu\| \|(E_{\varphi+\psi})^n\|$. Since the spectral radius of $E_{\varphi+\psi}$ is equal to $e^{\lambda(\varphi+\psi)}$, combining the last estimate with (6.1) we obtain (6.2). $\square$

Since the spectral potential $\lambda(\varphi)$ is a particular case of dynamical potential, we can define on $C^*(X)$ the corresponding dual entropy $S(\mu) = \inf_{\varphi \in C(X)} (\lambda(\varphi) - \mu(\varphi))$ and the action functional $\tau_\varphi(\mu) = \mu(\varphi) + S(\mu) - \lambda(\varphi)$.

Denote by $M(X)$ the set of all probability distributions on X . For each point $x \in X$ define the *empirical measures* $\delta_{x,n} \in M(X)$:

$$\delta_{x,n}(f) = \frac{f(x) + f(\alpha(x)) + \cdots + f(\alpha^{n-1}(x))}{n}, \quad f \in C(X).$$

Proposition 6.2 *Suppose a state ν of a positive flow is φ -sensitive. Then for any measure $\mu \in C^*(X)$ and any number $t > \tau_\varphi(\mu)$ there exists a small neighborhood $O(\mu)$ in the *-weak topology such that for all n large enough*

$$P_n \{ x \in X \mid \delta_{x,n} \in O(\mu) \} < e^{nt}, \quad \text{where } P_n = \frac{\nu(\cdot E_\varphi^n)}{\nu(E_\varphi^n)}. \quad (6.3)$$

Proof. Choose an $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that $\tau_\varphi(\mu) < t - \varepsilon$. Then $S(\mu) = \lambda(\varphi) - \mu(\varphi) + \tau_\varphi(\mu) < \lambda(\varphi) - \mu(\varphi) + t - \varepsilon$. By the definition of $S(\mu)$ there exists a function $\psi \in C(X)$ such that $\lambda(\psi) - \mu(\psi) < \lambda(\varphi) - \mu(\varphi) + t - \varepsilon$ or, equivalently, $\mu(\psi - \varphi) < \lambda(\psi) - \lambda(\varphi) - t + \varepsilon$. Set $O(\mu) = \{ \nu \in C^*(X) \mid \nu(\psi - \varphi) > \lambda(\psi) - \lambda(\varphi) - t + \varepsilon \}$. Obviously, $S_n(\psi - \varphi)(x) = n\delta_{x,n}(\psi - \varphi)$. So if $\delta_{x,n} \in O(\mu)$ then $S_n(\psi - \varphi)(x) - n(\lambda(\psi) - \lambda(\varphi) - t + \varepsilon) > 0$. Now by Proposition 6.1 for all sufficiently large n we have

$$P_n \{ x \in X \mid \delta_{x,n} \in O(\mu) \} \leq P_n (e^{S_n(\psi - \varphi) - n(\lambda(\psi) - \lambda(\varphi) - t + \varepsilon)}) < e^{nt}. \quad \square$$

Recall that any equilibrium measure corresponding to $\varphi \in C(X)$ is a subgradient of the functional $\lambda(\varphi)$ at the point φ . In Proposition 1.2 it was proved that each equilibrium measure is probability and α -invariant.

Proposition 6.3 *Assume that an open subset $U \subset M(X)$ contains all equilibrium measures corresponding to $\varphi \in C(X)$ and that states P_n have the form $P_n = \nu(\cdot E_\varphi^n) / \nu(E_\varphi^n)$, where ν is some φ -sensitive state. Then there exists an $\varkappa$ so small that for all large enough n the inequality $P_n \{ x \in X \mid \delta_{x,n} \notin U \} < e^{-n\varkappa}$ holds.*

Proof. Consider any measure $\mu \in M(X) \setminus U$. By Proposition 1.1 it satisfies $\tau_\varphi(\mu) < 0$. By Proposition 6.2 there exists a small neighborhood $O(\mu)$ in $M(X)$ such that $P_n \{ x \in X \mid \delta_{x,n} \in O(\mu) \} < e^{n\tau_\varphi(\mu)/2}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The set $M(X) \setminus U$ is compact in the *-weak topology. Hence it can be covered by finitely many neighborhoods $O(\mu_1), \dots, O(\mu_k)$ of the form indicated above. Then $P_n \{ x \in X \mid \delta_{x,n} \notin U \} < \sum_{i=1}^k e^{n\tau_\varphi(\mu_i)/2}$, provided n is large. $\square$

Theorem 6.4 *Suppose $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, E, \alpha^*)$ is a positive flow with phase space X , and for certain functions $\varphi, f \in C(X)$ the derivative*

$$\left. \frac{d\lambda(\varphi + tf)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = a \quad (6.4)$$

exists, and a state ν is φ -sensitive. Then the sequence $\{f \circ \alpha^n\}$ satisfies the law of large numbers with respect to the measures $P_n = \nu(\cdot E_\varphi^n) / \nu(E_\varphi^n)$. Namely,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n \{ x \in X \mid |\delta_{x,n}(f) - a| > \varepsilon \} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0. \quad (6.5)$$

If, in addition, ν is a right eigen-state for E_φ then the following strong law of large numbers is valid:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{x,n}(f) = a \quad \text{for } \nu\text{-almost all } x. \quad (6.6)$$

Proof. It follows from (6.4) that any equilibrium measure μ corresponding to φ satisfies $\mu(f) = a$. Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and denote by U_ε the set of all measures $m \in M(X)$ such that $|m(f) - a| < \varepsilon$. Thereupon define the sets $X_{\varepsilon,n} = \{x \in X \mid \delta_{x,n} \notin U_\varepsilon\}$. By Proposition 6.3 there exists $\varkappa > 0$ such that $P_n(X_{\varepsilon,n}) < e^{-n\varkappa}$ for all n large enough. This estimate implies the first part of Theorem 6.4.

Now suppose that ν is a right eigen-state. Without loss of generality we can assume that it is normalized. Then all P_n coincide with ν . Hence, $\nu(\bigcup_{n>N} X_{\varepsilon,n}) < \sum_{n>N} e^{-n\varkappa} = e^{-N\varkappa}/(e^\varkappa - 1)$. Consider the set $X_\varepsilon = \bigcap_{N>0} \bigcup_{n>N} X_{\varepsilon,n}$. Evidently, $\nu(X_\varepsilon) = 0$. Therefore $\nu(\bigcup_{\varepsilon>0} X_\varepsilon) = 0$. But if $x \notin \bigcup_{\varepsilon>0} X_\varepsilon$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{x,n}(f) = a$. $\square$

Corollary 6.5 *If the spectral potential $\lambda(\varphi)$ is Gâteaux differentiable at a point $\varphi \in C(X)$, then the laws of large numbers (6.5) and (6.6) are valid for all functions $f \in C(X)$.*

In connection with Theorem 6.4 the question of existence of the derivative (6.4) arises. In convex analysis they give the following answer to it. Let $\lambda(\varphi)$ be an arbitrary convex functional on $C(X)$. Then its restriction to any affine straight line in $C(X)$ can be nondifferentiable on at most a countable set. If L is a finite-dimensional subspace in $C(X)$ then the restriction of $\lambda(\varphi)$ to L will be differentiable in the Gâteaux sense almost everywhere (with respect to Lebesgue's measure). At last, if L is a separable vector subspace in $C(X)$, then the restriction of $\lambda(\varphi)$ to L will be Gâteaux differentiable on a residual set (a residual set is a countable intersection of some open dense subsets of L).

Another important question is how the probability distributions P_n in (6.5) might look like. We shall present only one rather abstract example. Suppose L is a separable vector subspace in $C(X)$ and $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots\}$ is a countable dense subset in L . By Theorem 4.3 for each φ_i there exists a right eigen-state ν_i such that $\|\nu_i\| = \nu_i(I) = 2^{-i}$. Define the new state $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu_i$. It is sensitive with respect to all $\varphi \in L$. It is easily seen that in this case

$$\nu_i(f E_\varphi^n) = \nu_i(f e^{S_n(\varphi - \varphi_i)} E_{\varphi_i}^n) = \nu_i(f e^{S_n(\varphi - \varphi_i)}) e^{n\lambda(\varphi_i)}$$

and respectively

$$P_n(f) = \frac{\nu(f E_\varphi^n)}{\nu(E_\varphi^n)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu_i(f e^{S_n(\varphi - \varphi_i)}) e^{n\lambda(\varphi_i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu_i(e^{S_n(\varphi - \varphi_i)}) e^{n\lambda(\varphi_i)}}. \quad (6.7)$$

Now let us consider the case of E_φ having a two-sided eigen-state.

Proposition 6.6 *If ν is a two-sided eigen-state corresponding to $\varphi \in C(X)$ then it induces an α -invariant measure on X .*

Proof. This follows from the fact that for any $f \in C(X)$ by the homological identity we have $\nu(f \circ \alpha) = e^{-\lambda(\varphi)} \nu((f \circ \alpha) E_\varphi) = e^{-\lambda(\varphi)} \nu(E_\varphi f) = \nu(f)$. $\square$

Proposition 6.7 *Suppose ν is a normalized two-sided eigen-state corresponding to $\varphi \in C(X)$. Then ν induces an equilibrium measure on X . If, in addition, this measure is unique equilibrium measure corresponding to φ then it is ergodic.*

Proof. Consider the sequence of functionals $\lambda_n(\psi) = n^{-1} \ln \nu(E_\psi^n)$. It follows from the definition of the normalized eigen-state that $\lambda_n(\varphi) = \lambda(\varphi)$. Recall that by the homological identity $E_\psi^n = e^{S_n \psi} E^n$. Therefore Proposition 2.3 yields the convexity of $\lambda_n(\psi)$. The

following calculation shows that the restriction of ν to $C(X)$ coincides with the derivative $\lambda'_n(\varphi)$:

$$\left. \frac{d\lambda_n(\varphi + t\psi)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{n} e^{-n\lambda(\varphi)} \sum_{i=1}^n \nu(E_\varphi^{n-i}\psi E_\varphi^i) = \nu(\psi).$$

Hence $\lambda_n(\varphi + \psi) - \lambda(\varphi) \geq \nu(\psi)$ for all $\psi \in C(X)$. From the last inequality and the definition of $\lambda_n(\psi)$ it follows that $\lambda(\varphi + \psi) - \lambda(\varphi) \geq \nu(\psi)$. But this exactly means that ν determines an equilibrium measure. If, in addition, this equilibrium measure is unique for φ , then its ergodicity follows from Corollary 6.5. $\square$

Concluding the section we formulate a problem that seems to be of great significance. It is well known that in classical probability theory two theorems have the supreme importance, namely, the law of large numbers and the central limit theorem. As we have already seen, the restriction of the spectral potential to any finite-dimensional subspace $L \subset C(X)$ is differentiable almost everywhere. And this differentiability implies the law of large numbers by means of Theorem 6.4. But furthermore, there is Alexandroff's theorem [8] asserting that any convex functional on a finite-dimensional vector space is *twice* differentiable almost everywhere. In this connection it would be desirable to know if the central limit theorem is valid there where the spectral potential is twice differentiable?

7 Probabilities of large deviations

We continue study of the stochastic flow with phase space X . Suppose L is a vector subspace in $C(X)$. For any $\varphi \in L$ let us define on L^* the *conditional action functional*

$$\tau_\varphi^L(u) = \sup\{\tau_\varphi(\mu) \mid \mu|_L = u\}, \quad u \in L^*. \quad (7.1)$$

In the case $L = C(X)$ it coincides with $\tau_\varphi(\mu)$.

Proposition 7.1 *The conditional action functional is upper semicontinuous over L^* (relative to the $*$ -weak topology) and satisfies*

$$\tau_\varphi^L(u) = \inf_{\psi \in L} \{\lambda(\psi) - \lambda(\varphi) - u(\psi - \varphi)\}, \quad \varphi \in L, \quad u \in L^*. \quad (7.2)$$

Proof. We have the Young inequality $S(\mu) \leq \lambda(\psi) - \mu(\psi)$. It implies that if $\varphi, \psi \in L$ and $\mu|_L = u$ then $\tau_\varphi(\mu) = S(\mu) - \mu(\varphi) - \lambda(\varphi) \leq \lambda(\psi) - \lambda(\varphi) - u(\psi - \varphi)$. Thus $\tau_\varphi^L(u)$ does not exceed the right hand side of (7.2).

Conversely, let $t = \inf_{\psi \in L} \{\lambda(\psi) - \lambda(\varphi) - u(\psi - \varphi)\}$. Then $t + u(\psi) \leq \lambda(\psi) - \lambda(\varphi) + u(\varphi)$ for all $\psi \in L$. By the Hahn–Banach theorem u extends up to a continuous linear functional μ on $C(X)$ such that $t + \mu(\psi) \leq \lambda(\psi) - \lambda(\varphi) + \mu(\varphi)$ for all $\psi \in C(X)$. Therefore,

$$\tau_\varphi^L(u) \geq \tau_\varphi(\mu) = S(\mu) + \mu(\varphi) - \lambda(\varphi) = \inf_{\psi \in C(X)} \{\lambda(\psi) - \mu(\psi) + \mu(\varphi) - \lambda(\varphi)\} \geq t.$$

Thus we have proved (7.2). It means that the functional $\tau_\varphi^L(u) + \lambda(\varphi) - u(\varphi)$, which is equal to $\inf_{\psi \in L} \{\lambda(\psi) - u(\psi)\}$, only in sign differs from the Legendre transform of the restriction of $\lambda(\psi)$ to L . Hence it is upper semicontinuous and so is $\tau_\varphi^L(u)$. $\square$

Proposition 7.2 *If $\tau_\varphi^L(u) > -\infty$ then u belongs to the closure (in the norm of L^*) of all subgradients of the restriction $\lambda(\cdot)|_L$.*

Proof is just the same as one of Proposition 1.3. $\square$

Denote by M_ψ^L the set of all subgradients of the restriction $\lambda(\cdot)|_L$ at the point $\psi \in L$. Obviously, M_ψ^L is nonempty, convex, and closed in the $*$ -weak topology. From (7.2) it follows

$$\tau_\varphi^L(u) \leq \lambda(\psi) - \lambda(\varphi) - u(\psi - \varphi), \quad u \in L^*. \quad (7.3)$$

Like the Young inequality, (7.3) turns into equality iff $u \in M_\psi^L$.

Theorem 7.3 *Suppose we are given a vector subspace $L \subset C(X)$, a function $\varphi \in L$, and a certain φ -sensitive state ν . Then for any $u \in L^*$ and any number $t_1 > \tau_\varphi^L(u)$ there exists a small neighborhood $O(u) \subset L^*$ (in the $*$ -weak topology) such that for all sufficiently large n we have*

$$P_n\{x \in X \mid \delta_{x,n}|_L \in O(u)\} < e^{nt_1}, \quad \text{where} \quad P_n = \frac{\nu(\cdot E_\varphi^n)}{\nu(E_\varphi^n)}. \quad (7.4)$$

And if the state ν is simultaneously sensitive with respect to two functions $\varphi, \psi \in L$ then for any open subst $U \subset L^*$ containing M_ψ^L and any number $t_2 < \inf\{\tau_\varphi^L(u) \mid u \in M_\psi^L\}$ we have

$$P_n\{x \in X \mid \delta_{x,n}|_L \in U\} > e^{nt_2}, \quad \text{where} \quad P_n = \frac{\nu(\cdot E_\varphi^n)}{\nu(E_\varphi^n)}, \quad (7.5)$$

provided n is large enough.

Remark. By Proposition 7.2, if a functional $u \in L^*$ lies at a positive distance from the set of all subgradients of the restriction $\lambda(\cdot)|_L$, then $\tau_\varphi^L(u) = -\infty$. In this case (7.4) is valid for any $t_1 < 0$.

Corollary 7.4 *If under the conditions of Theorem 7.3 the set M_ψ^L consists of only one element u then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a small neighborhood $O(u) \subset L^*$ such that*

$$e^{(\tau-\varepsilon)} < P_n\{x \in X \mid \delta_{x,n}|_L \in O(u)\} < e^{(\tau+\varepsilon)} \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (7.6)$$

where $\tau = \tau_\varphi^L(u) = \lambda(\psi) - \lambda(\varphi) - u(\psi - \varphi)$.

The inequalities (7.6) represent the main result of the section. In essence, they mean that the number $\tau_\varphi^L(u)$ determines a rough exponential asymptotics for the probability of observing the empirical measures whose restriction to L is close to u .

Let us illustrate Corollary 7.4 in the case of finite-dimensional L . Assume that functions $f_1, \dots, f_k \in C(X)$ are linearly independent and $L = \{t^1 f_1 + \dots + t^k f_k \mid f^i \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Denote by $u = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$ the coordinates in L^* dual to $t = (t^1, \dots, t^k)$. Then formula (7.2) takes the form

$$\tau_{t_0}^L(u) = \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}^k} \{\Lambda(t) - \Lambda(t_0) - \langle u, t - t_0 \rangle\},$$

where $\Lambda(t) = \lambda(t^1 f_1 + \dots + t^k f_k)$ and $t_0^1 f_1 + \dots + t_0^k f_k = \varphi$.

The functional $\Lambda(t)$ is convex and so is Gâteaux differentiable almost everywhere. At the points of differentiability Corollary 7.4 looks as follows: for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a small $\delta > 0$ such that for all sufficiently large n we have

$$e^{n(\tau-\varepsilon)} < P_n\{x \in X \mid |\delta_{x,n}(f_i) - u_i| < \delta, \quad i = 1, \dots, k\} < e^{n(\tau+\varepsilon)},$$

where $u = \Lambda'(t)$ and $\tau = \tau_{t_0}^L(u) = \Lambda(t) - \Lambda(t_0) - \langle \Lambda'(t), t - t_0 \rangle$.

The finite-dimensional case has the additional advantage that the state ν , which generates the probability distributions P_n , can be chosen the same for all t and t_0 . The only condition for this is sensitivity of ν with respect to all $\varphi \in L$.

Proof of Theorem 7.3. We prove the estimate (7.4) in just the same way as (6.3). Choose a small positive ε such that $\tau_{\varphi}^L(u) < t_1 - \varepsilon$. By (7.2) there exists $\psi \in L$ satisfying $u(\psi - \varphi) > \lambda(\psi) - \lambda(\varphi) - t_1 + \varepsilon$. Set $O(u) = \{v \in L^* \mid v(\psi - \varphi) > \lambda(\psi) - \lambda(\varphi) - t_1 + \varepsilon\}$. Recall that $S_n(\psi - \varphi)(x) = n\delta_{x,n}(\psi - \varphi)$. Hence the condition $\delta_{x,n}|_L \in O(u)$ yields the inequality $S_n(\psi - \varphi)(x) - n(\lambda(\psi) - \lambda(\varphi) - t_1 + \varepsilon) > 0$. Then, in view of Proposition 6.1,

$$P_n\{x \in X \mid \delta_{x,n}|_L \in O(u)\} \leq P_n(e^{S_n(\psi - \varphi) - n(\lambda(\psi) - \lambda(\varphi) - t_1 + \varepsilon)}) < e^{nt_1},$$

provided n is large. Thus the first part of Theorem 7.3 is proved.

Now we proceed to the second part. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $t_2 + \varepsilon < \inf\{\tau_{\varphi}^L(u) \mid u \in M_{\psi}^L\}$, and define the set

$$U' = \{u \in U \mid u(\psi - \varphi) < \lambda(\psi) - \lambda(\varphi) - t_2 - \varepsilon\}. \quad (7.7)$$

Obviously, it is open. And, in view of (7.3) and the choice of ε , it contains M_{ψ}^L .

Let B be the unit ball in L^* . By Alaoglu's theorem B is compact in the *-weak topology. Hence the set $B \setminus U'$ is compact too. By construction, it has no common points with M_{ψ}^L and so the inequality (7.3) is strict on $B \setminus U'$. In addition, the functional $\tau_{\varphi}^L(u)$ is upper semicontinuous. Therefore there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that

$$\tau_{\varphi}^L(u) < \lambda(\psi) - \lambda(\varphi) - u(\psi - \varphi) - 3\varepsilon \quad \text{for all } u \in B \setminus U'. \quad (7.8)$$

Using the already proved first part of Theorem 7.3, choose for each $u \in B \setminus U'$ a neighborhood $O(u) \subset L^*$ so small that

$$P_n\{x \in X \mid \delta_{x,n}|_L \in O(u)\} < e^{n(\tau_{\varphi}^L(u) + \varepsilon)} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (7.9)$$

and at the same time

$$\sup\{v(\psi - \varphi) \mid v \in O(u)\} < u(\psi - \varphi) + \varepsilon. \quad (7.10)$$

Choose from these neighborhoods a finite covering $O(u_1), \dots, O(u_k)$ of the set $B \setminus U'$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(e^{S_n(\psi - \varphi)}) &\leq P_n\{x \mid \delta_{x,n}|_L \in U'\} \sup\{e^{S_n(\psi - \varphi)(x)} \mid \delta_{x,n}|_L \in U'\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^k P_n\{x \mid \delta_{x,n}|_L \in O(u_i)\} \sup\{e^{S_n(\psi - \varphi)(x)} \mid \delta_{x,n}|_L \in O(u_i)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting here the estimates (7.7)–(7.10) and using the equality $S_n(\psi - \varphi)(x) = n\delta_{x,n}(\psi - \varphi)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(e^{S_n(\psi - \varphi)}) &\leq P_n\{x \mid \delta_{x,n}|_L \in U'\} e^{n(\lambda(\psi) - \lambda(\varphi) - t - \varepsilon)} + \sum_{i=1}^k e^{n(\tau_{\varphi}^L(u_i) + \varepsilon)} e^{n(u_i(\psi - \varphi) + \varepsilon)} \\ &\leq e^{n(\lambda(\psi) - \lambda(\varphi))} \left(P_n\{x \mid \delta_{x,n}|_L \in U'\} e^{-n(t_2 + \varepsilon)} + e^{-n\varepsilon} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.11)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln P_n(e^{S_n(\psi-\varphi)}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \frac{\nu(e^{S_n(\psi-\varphi)} E_\varphi^n)}{\nu(E_\varphi^n)} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln \frac{\nu(E_\psi^n)}{\nu(E_\varphi^n)} = \lambda(\psi) - \lambda(\varphi). \end{aligned} \quad (7.12)$$

Comparison of (7.11) and (7.12) gives (7.5). $\square$

8 Positive processes

A (discrete time) *positive process* is a triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, E)$ consisting of a positive Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with closed cone $\mathcal{A}_+$, a phase subalgebra $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}$, and an element $E \in \mathcal{A}_+$ named the evolution operator. For instance, one can take the algebra of bounded linear operators on the space $L^p(X, \mu)$ as $\mathcal{A}$, the algebra $L^\infty(X, \mu)$ as $\mathcal{C}$, and an arbitrary positive linear operator on $L^p(X, \mu)$ as E . The support of the phase algebra $\mathcal{C}$ we will call the phase space of the positive process and usually denote by X . The positive process differs from the positive flow only by absence of the shift homomorphism $\delta: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and associated with it mapping $\alpha: X \rightarrow X$. Nevertheless, we shall see soon that to any positive process one can assign in a standard way a certain positive flow.

A *trajectory* of a positive process $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, E)$ is an arbitrary sequence $\bar{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$ of points of the phase space X . The set of all trajectories supplied with the cylinder topology we will denote by $\bar{X}$. By Tychonoff's theorem $\bar{X}$ is compact.

For every natural n define the subalgebra $C(X^n) \subset C(\bar{X})$ as the set of continuous functions of the form $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, which depend only on the first n coordinates of the point $x \in \bar{X}$. The subalgebras $C(X^n)$ are naturally embedded into each other: $\mathbb{R} = C(X^0) \subset C(X^1) \subset C(X^2) \subset \dots$. By Stone's theorem the union $\bigcup_n C(X^n)$ is dense in $C(\bar{X})$.

Consider the left shift $\sigma: (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \mapsto (x_2, x_3, \dots)$ on the $\bar{X}$. It generates the homomorphism of $C(\bar{X})$ taking each function F to $F \circ \sigma$. Obviously, $F \circ \sigma^m(x_1, x_2, \dots) = F(x_{1+m}, x_{2+m}, \dots)$. And if $F \in C(X^n)$ then $F \circ \sigma^m \in C(X^{n+m})$.

The following object to be defined is the *crossed product* of the algebra $C(\bar{X})$ and the semigroup $\{E^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ generated by the evolution operator E . We will use the notation $\mathcal{B} = C(\bar{X}) \times_\sigma \{E^n\}$ for this crossed product. By definition, the crossed algebra $\mathcal{B}$ consists of formal sums $\sum_{i=0}^n F_i E^i$, where $F_i \in C(\bar{X})$, which are multiplied by the rule

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^n F_i E^i \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^m G_j E^j \right) = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m (F_i \cdot (G_j \circ \sigma^i)) E^{i+j}. \quad (8.1)$$

The elements of $\mathcal{B}$ we shall call the *crossed operators*. For any $F \in C(\bar{X})$ we shall say that the crossed operator $F E^n$ has *degree* n . From (8.1) it follows that the degree of the product of two crossed operators is equal to the sum of their degrees. Evidently, the set of all crossed operators of zero degree forms a subalgebra in $\mathcal{B}$ isomorphic to $C(\bar{X})$. Denote by $\mathcal{B}_+$ the set of crossed operators of the form $\sum_{i=0}^n F_i E^i$, where all the coefficients $F_i \in C(\bar{X})$ are nonnegative. We shall suppose that $\mathcal{B}$ is semiordered by the cone $\mathcal{B}_+$. A

crossed operator $\sum_{i=0}^n F_i E^i$ will be called *proper* if $F_i \in C(X^i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. The set of all proper crossed operators we denote by $\mathcal{B}_p$. Formula (8.1) shows that $\mathcal{B}_p$ is a subalgebra in $\mathcal{B}$.

Theorem 8.1 *Suppose $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, E)$ is a positive process with phase space X and $\mathcal{B}$ is the corresponding crossed algebra with the cone $\mathcal{B}_+$ and the subalgebra of proper operators $\mathcal{B}_p \subset \mathcal{B}$. Then there exists a unique algebraic homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{B}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ that is positive (maps the cone $\mathcal{B}_p \cap \mathcal{B}_+$ to $\mathcal{A}_+$) and takes each crossed operator of the form $f_1(x_1) \cdots f_n(x_n) E^n$, where $f_i \in C(X)$, to the ordinary product $f_1 E f_2 E \cdots f_n E \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, for each function $F \in C(X^n)$ the estimate $\|\pi(F E^n)\| \leq 2 \|E^n\| \sup F$ holds.*

Proof. At the beginning let us assume that such a homomorphism does exist and prove its uniqueness. Consider an arbitrary function $F \in C(X^n)$. Set $t = \max |F|$. Then $0 \leq t \pm F \leq 2t$. By the positiveness of π and the monotonicity of the norm on $\mathcal{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \|\pi(F E^n)\| &= \|\pi((t + F) E^n) - \pi((t - F) E^n)\| \\ &\leq \|\pi((t + F) E^n)\| + \|\pi((t - F) E^n)\| \leq 2 \|\pi(2t E^n)\| = 4t \|E^n\|. \end{aligned} \quad (8.2)$$

Therefore, $\pi(F E^n)$ depends continuously on $F \in C(X^n)$. Denote by $\mathcal{C}_n$ the algebra of functions of the form $F = \sum_{i=1}^m f_1^i(x_1) \cdots f_n^i(x_n)$, where $f_j^i \in C(X)$. By Stone's theorem $\mathcal{C}_n$ is dense in $C(X^n)$. And by condition $\pi(F E^n)$ is defined uniquely for all $F \in \mathcal{C}_n$. These arguments imply the required uniqueness of π .

Now let us prove the existence of π . By condition, $\pi(F E^n)$ is already defined for all $F \in \mathcal{C}_n$. Assume that a function $F \in \mathcal{C}_n$ is nonnegative. Then by Theorem 3.4 for any $\varepsilon > 0$ the sum $F + \varepsilon$ can be represented as $F + \varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^m f_1^i(x_1) \cdots f_n^i(x_n)$, where all the functions $f_j^i \in C(X)$ are nonnegative. Hence $\pi((F + \varepsilon) E^n) = \sum_{i=1}^m f_1^i E \cdots f_n^i E \in \mathcal{A}_+$ and so $\pi(F E^n) \in \mathcal{A}_+$ as well. Now calculation (8.2) becomes valid for all $F \in \mathcal{C}_n$. It shows that the linear mapping $F \mapsto \pi(F E^n)$ is continuous over $\mathcal{C}_n$. By the continuity it extends to $C(X^n)$ preserving the positiveness. Thus we have constructed the linear mapping $\pi : \mathcal{B}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. It is easily seen that this π is an algebraic homomorphism. Indeed, if $A = f_1(x_1) \cdots f_n(x_n) E^n$ and $B = g_1(x_1) \cdots g_m(x_m) E^m$ then $AB = f_1(x_1) \cdots f_n(x_n) \times g_1(x_{n+1}) \cdots g_m(x_{n+m}) E^{n+m}$ and so $\pi(AB) = f_1 E \cdots f_n E g_1 E \cdots g_m E = \pi(A) \pi(B)$. $\square$

On the crossed algebra $\mathcal{B} = C(\bar{X}) \rtimes_{\sigma} \{E^n\}$ one may define various monotone norms and seminorms (a seminorm on $\mathcal{B}$ is called monotone if $\|A\| \leq \|A + B\|$, provided $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_+$). We shall say that a monotone seminorm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathcal{B}$ is *compatible* with the norm on the initial algebra $\mathcal{A}$ if $\|A\| = \|\pi(A)\|$ for any proper positive operator $A \in \mathcal{B}_p \cap \mathcal{B}_+$. It turns out that compatible seminorms do exist and there is a maximal one among them, which can be defined in the following way. For a positive crossed operator $A \in \mathcal{B}_+$ put

$$\|A\| = \inf \{ \|\pi(A')\| \mid A' \in \mathcal{B}_p \text{ and } A' - A \in \mathcal{B}_+ \}, \quad (8.3)$$

and for the rest $A \in \mathcal{B}$ let

$$\|A\| = \inf \{ \|A'\| + \|A''\| \mid A', A'' \in \mathcal{B}_+ \text{ and } A' - A'' = A \}. \quad (8.4)$$

Theorem 8.2 *Suppose $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, E)$ is a positive process and $\mathcal{B}$ is the corresponding crossed algebra with the cone $\mathcal{B}_+$ and the subalgebra of proper operators $\mathcal{B}_p \subset \mathcal{B}$. Then formulas (8.3), (8.4) determine a monotone seminorm on $\mathcal{B}$ compatible with the norm on $\mathcal{A}$. This seminorm is the maximum of all monotone compatible seminorms on $\mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. Consider a pair of positive crossed operators $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_+$. Assume that for some proper operators $A', B' \in \mathcal{B}_p$ we have $A' - A \in \mathcal{B}_+$ and $B' - B \in \mathcal{B}_+$. Then $A', B' \in \mathcal{B}_+$ and $A'B' - AB = (A' - A)B' + A(B' - B) \in \mathcal{B}_+$. Therefore (8.3) implies $\|AB\| \leq \|\pi(A'B')\| \leq \|\pi(A')\| \|\pi(B')\|$. Passing here to the infimum over A', B' we obtain $\|AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|$. Similarly (and even a little more easily) it follows from (8.3) that $\|A\| + \|B\| \geq \|A + B\|$ and $\|A + B\| \geq \|A\|$. Further, if $A \in \mathcal{B}_+$ then the infimum in (8.4) is attained at $A' = A$ and $A'' = 0$. Therefore formulas (8.3) and (8.4) give the same value of $\|A\|$ for $A \in \mathcal{B}_+$.

Now consider a pair of arbitrary operators $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$. Suppose that some operators $A', A'', B', B'' \in \mathcal{B}_+$ satisfy $A' - A'' = A$ and $B' - B'' = B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A + B &= (A' + B') - (A'' + B''), \\ AB &= (A' - A'')(B' - B'') = (A'B' + A''B'') - (A'B'' + A''B'), \end{aligned}$$

and by virtue of (8.4),

$$\|A + B\| \leq \|A' + B'\| + \|A'' + B''\| \leq \|A'\| + \|A''\| + \|B'\| + \|B''\|, \quad (8.5)$$

$$\|AB\| \leq \|A'B' + A''B''\| + \|A'B'' + A''B'\| \leq (\|A'\| + \|A''\|)(\|B'\| + \|B''\|) \quad (8.6)$$

(here we use the inequalities $\|C + D\| \leq \|C\| + \|D\|$ and $\|CD\| \leq \|C\| \|D\|$, which are already proved for positive operators). Passing in (8.5) and (8.6) to the infimums over A', A'', B', B'' we get the triangle inequality $\|A + B\| \leq \|A\| + \|B\|$ and the estimate for product $\|AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|$. Eventually we have proved that (8.3) and (8.4) determine a monotone seminorm on $\mathcal{B}$. The compatibility of it is evident because in case $A \in \mathcal{B}_p \cap \mathcal{B}_+$ the infimum in (8.3) is attained at $A' = A$.

Let us prove that this seminorm is maximal. Consider any other compatible monotone seminorm $|\cdot|$ on $\mathcal{B}$. If $A \in \mathcal{B}_+$ then, in view of (8.3),

$$|A| \leq \inf\{|A'| \mid A' \in \mathcal{B}_p \text{ and } A' - A \in \mathcal{B}_+\} = \|A\|, \quad (8.7)$$

and for an arbitrary $A \in \mathcal{B}$, in view of (8.4), (8.7),

$$|A| \leq \inf\{|A'| + |A''| \mid A', A'' \in \mathcal{B}_+ \text{ and } A' - A'' = A\} \leq \|A\|. \quad \square$$

Proposition 8.3 *Suppose $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, E)$ is a positive process with phase space X , and the seminorm on the corresponding crossed algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is given by (8.3), (8.4). Then for any function $F \in C(\bar{X})$ we have $\|F\| \leq 2 \max |F| \leq 2 \|F\|$.*

Proof. The algebra $C(\bar{X})$ is naturally embedded in $\mathcal{B}$ (being the subalgebra of crossed operators of zero degree). By definition, the intersection $C(\bar{X}) \cap \mathcal{B}_p$ contains only constant functions. Therefore for nonnegative F formula (8.3) gives $\|F\| = \max |F|$. For an arbitrary $F \in C(\bar{X})$ let $F^+ = \max\{0, F\}$ and $F^- = \max\{0, -F\}$. Obviously, $F = F^+ - F^-$. Hence (8.4) gives $\|F\| = \|F^+\| + \|F^-\| \leq 2 \max |F|$. On the other hand, $\max |F| \leq \|F^+\| + \|F^-\| = \|F\|$. $\square$

In the sequel we always suppose that the crossed algebra $\mathcal{B} = C(\bar{X}) \times_\sigma \{E^n\}$ corresponding to the positive process $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, E)$ is equipped with the maximal compatible monotone seminorm (8.3), (8.4). In view of Proposition 8.3 the natural embedding $C(\bar{X}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is continuous. Let us form the quotient of $\mathcal{B}$ by the crossed operators with zero seminorm

(i. e., all the operators with zero seminorm we regard as zeros). On this quotient algebra the maximal compatible seminorm becomes a norm. Complete the quotient algebra in the norm. The resulting Banach algebra we shall call, as before, the crossed product and denote it by the same letter $\mathcal{B}$. Obviously, this new $\mathcal{B}$ is semiordered (by the closure of the cone $\mathcal{B}_+$) and positive and includes the all of $C(\bar{X})$.

Formula (8.1) yields the homological identity $EF = (1E)(FE^0) = (F \circ \sigma)E$ for all $F \in C(\bar{X})$. Denote by σ^* the homomorphism $\sigma^*(F) = F \circ \sigma$, where $F \in C(\bar{X})$. Then it follows from the above that the quadruple $(\mathcal{B}, C(\bar{X}), E, \sigma^*)$ forms a positive flow associated with the dynamical system $(\bar{X}, \sigma)$. We shall call it the *suspension* over the positive process $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, E)$. For the suspension $(\mathcal{B}, C(\bar{X}), E, \sigma^*)$, just as for any positive flow, we can define the spectral potential, the equilibrium measures on X , the eigen- and sensitive states. For them, of course, all the probability-theoretic results proved in Sections 6, 7 are valid.

In conclusion we note two more useful properties of the spectral potential $\lambda(\varphi)$ of the positive flow $(\mathcal{B}, C(\bar{X}), E, \sigma^*)$. Firstly, its restriction to the subalgebra $C(X) \subset C(\bar{X})$ coincides with the logarithm of the spectral radius of the operator $e^\varphi E$ in the initial algebra $\mathcal{A}$. This follows from the compatibility of the norms on $\mathcal{B}$ and on $\mathcal{A}$. Secondly, the value of $\lambda(\varphi)$ determined in (5.2) does not at all depend on the choice of a monotone seminorm on the crossed algebra $\mathcal{B}$, provided only this seminorm is compatible with the norm on $\mathcal{A}$. We leave this statement without proof because it never will be used below.

9 Supports of positive flows

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, E, \alpha^*)$ be a covariant positive flow with phase space X . It can be considered as the positive process $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, E)$. But the latter, in turn, is identified with the positive flow $(\mathcal{B}, C(\bar{X}), E, \sigma^*)$ (suspension). In the current section we investigate relations between the spectral potentials, equilibrium measures, and eigen-states of the initial positive flow and the suspension over it.

A function $f \in C(X)$ will be called *unessential* for the positive flow $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, E, \alpha^*)$ if $fE^n = 0$ for a certain $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Evidently, the set of all unessential functions forms an ideal in $C(X)$. Denote it by $\mathcal{I}$. Note that by the homological identity $EfE^n = (f \circ \alpha)E^{n+1}$. Hence the ideal $\mathcal{I}$ is α -invariant.

Define the *support* of the positive flow as the annihilator of $\mathcal{I}$. In other words, the support is $\bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{I}} f^{-1}(0)$. The α -invariance of $\mathcal{I}$ implies α -invariance of the support.

Proposition 9.1 *The value of the spectral potential $\lambda(\varphi)$ depends only on the restriction of φ to the support of the positive flow.*

Proof. A function $\psi \in C(X)$ vanishes on the support if and only if it belongs to the closure of $\mathcal{I}$. Therefore it is sufficient to prove the identity $\lambda(\varphi + \psi) = \lambda(\varphi)$ for all $\psi \in \mathcal{I}$. Assume that $\psi E^m = 0$. Consider the operator $E_{\varphi+\psi}^n E^m - E_\varphi^n E^m = (e^{S_n(\varphi+\psi)} - e^{S_n\varphi})E^{n+m}$. The difference $e^{S_n(\varphi+\psi)} - e^{S_n\varphi}$ can be represented as $f_n S_n \psi$, where $f_n \in C(X)$. And from the homological identity it follows that $S_n \psi E^{n+m} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} E^i \psi E^{n+m-i} = 0$. Hence $E_{\varphi+\psi}^n E^m = E_\varphi^n E^m$ for all n . Put $t = \max |\varphi|$. Since the norm on $\mathcal{A}$ is monotone, $\|E_\varphi^n E^m\| e^{-mt} \leq \|E_{\varphi+\psi}^n E^m\| \leq \|E_\varphi^n E^m\| e^{mt}$. These inequalities show that $\lambda(\varphi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \ln \|E_\varphi^n E^m\|$. Absolutely in the same way, $\lambda(\varphi + \psi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \ln \|E_{\varphi+\psi}^n E^m\|$. Therefore $\lambda(\varphi) = \lambda(\varphi + \psi)$. $\square$

Proposition 9.2 *Equilibrium measures of a positive flow are concentrated on its support.*

Proof. Each equilibrium measure μ is a subgradient of the spectral potential $\lambda(\varphi)$ at a point $\varphi \in C(X)$. It is sufficient to show that $\mu(\psi) = 0$ for all functions ψ that vanish on the support of the flow. By definition of the subgradient, $\lambda(\varphi + t\psi) - \lambda(\varphi) \geq \mu(t\psi)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. And by Proposition 9.1 we have $\lambda(\varphi + t\psi) = \lambda(\varphi)$. Hence $\mu(\psi) = 0$. $\square$

We study the positive flow $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, E, \alpha^*)$ with phase space X . Set $\bar{X} = X^{\mathbb{N}}$ and define the algebraic homomorphism $\pi: C(\bar{X}) \rightarrow C(X)$ that takes each function $F(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$ to $\pi F(x) = F(x, \alpha(x), \alpha^2(x), \dots)$. Consider the crossed product $\mathcal{B} = C(\bar{X}) \times_{\sigma} \{E^n\}$. Extend π up to a mapping $\pi: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by means of the equality $\pi(\sum_{i=0}^n F_i E^i) = \sum_{i=0}^n \pi(F_i) E^i$. It follows from the homological identity that this extended π is also a homomorphism. Which, of course, is positive. On crossed operators of the form $f_1 E f_2 E \dots f_n E$, where $f_i \in C(X)$, our extended π coincides with the homomorphism that was defined in Theorem 8.1 and was denoted there by the same letter π . And since linear combinations of operators having the indicated form are dense in the subalgebra of proper crossed operators $\mathcal{B}_p \subset \mathcal{B}$, these two homomorphisms coincide on $\mathcal{B}_p$. Thus we see that the just defined π is an extension (from $\mathcal{B}_p$ to $\mathcal{B}$) of the homomorphism from Theorem 8.1.

Proposition 9.3 *If a positive flow generates a dynamical system (X, α) then the set of trajectories of the dynamical system contains the support of the suspension over the flow.*

Proof. Suppose a sequence $\bar{a} = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots)$ is not a trajectory of the dynamical system (X, α) . It is sufficient to show that $\bar{a}$ lies out of the support of the suspension over the initial flow. And for that it is sufficient to find an unessential (with respect to the suspension) function $F \in C(\bar{X})$ such that $F(\bar{a}) \neq 0$. Fix a number n such that $\alpha(a_{n-1}) \neq a_n$. Choose neighborhoods $O(a_{n-1})$ and $O(a_n)$ in X such that $\alpha(O(a_{n-1})) \cap O(a_n) = \emptyset$. There exist nonnegative functions $f_i \in C(X)$, $i = n-1, n$, vanishing out of $O(a_i)$ and equal to one at a_i . Evidently, $f_{n-1} \cdot (f_n \circ \alpha) \equiv 0$. Consider the function $F(\bar{x}) = f_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) f_n(x_n)$ on $\bar{X}$. By construction, it takes the unit value at $\bar{a}$. Besides, by the compatibility of norms we have $\|F E^n\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \|\pi(F E^n)\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \|E^{n-1} f_{n-1} \cdot (f_n \circ \alpha) E\|_{\mathcal{A}} = 0$. Thus F is unessential. $\square$

Now let $\lambda(\varphi)$ be the spectral potential of a positive flow $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, E, \alpha^*)$ with phase space X and $\Lambda(\varphi)$ be the spectral potential of the corresponding suspension $(\mathcal{B}, C(\bar{X}), E, \sigma^*)$. Define the embedding $i_{\alpha}: X \rightarrow \bar{X}$ that assigns to each point $x \in X$ its trajectory $i_{\alpha}(x) = (x, \alpha(x), \alpha^2(x), \dots)$. This embedding is associated with the homomorphism π (that is $\pi F = F \circ i_{\alpha}$).

Proposition 9.4 *The embedding i_{α} establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the support of the initial positive flow and the support of the suspension over it. In addition, $\Lambda(\varphi) \equiv \lambda(\varphi \circ i_{\alpha})$.*

Proof. Recall that $C(X)$ is naturally embedded into $C(\bar{X})$. For any $\varphi \in C(\bar{X})$ the difference $\varphi - \varphi \circ i_{\alpha}$ vanishes on the set of trajectories $i_{\alpha}(X)$ and hence on the support of the suspension too. By Proposition 9.1 we have $\Lambda(\varphi) \equiv \Lambda(\varphi \circ i_{\alpha})$. And in view of the compatibility of norms the spectral radius of the operator $e^{\varphi \circ i_{\alpha}} E$ in $\mathcal{B}$ is equal to the spectral radius of the same operator in $\mathcal{A}$. Therefore, $\lambda(\varphi \circ i_{\alpha}) = \Lambda(\varphi \circ i_{\alpha}) = \Lambda(\varphi)$.

Assume that a trajectory $i_{\alpha}(a) = (a, \alpha(a), \alpha^2(a), \dots)$ lies in the support of the suspension and that a function $f \in C(X)$ is unessential with respect to the initial flow. Then f^2 is unessential too. Hence for a certain n the operator $f^2 E^n \in \mathcal{A}$ is zero. By the compatibility of norms the operator $f^2 E^n \in \mathcal{B}_+$ is zero as well. Therefore f^2 is unessential with respect to the suspension and so $f^2(i_{\alpha}(a)) = f^2(a) = 0$. From here it follows that a

belongs to the support of the initial flow.

Conversely, assume that $a \in X$ belongs to the support of the initial flow. We have to prove that if a function $F \in C(\bar{X})$ is unessential with respect to the suspension then it vanishes at $i_\alpha(a)$. Let $FE^n = 0$. Then, of course, $F^2E^n = 0$. Consider the function $G(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \max\{F^2(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots) \mid x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots \in X\}$. It follows from the definition of the maximal compatible seminorm on the crossed algebra that $\|F^2E^n\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \|\pi(G)E^n\|_{\mathcal{A}}$. Therefore $\|\pi(G)E^n\|_{\mathcal{A}} = 0$ and hence the function $\pi(G) = G \circ i_\alpha$ is unessential with respect to the initial flow. Thus $G(i_\alpha(a)) = 0$ and so much the more $F^2(i_\alpha(a)) = 0$. $\square$

Proposition 9.4 shows that the embedding $i_\alpha: X \rightarrow \bar{X}$ not only establishes a homeomorphism between the support of the initial positive flow and the support of the suspension over it but also establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the sets of equilibrium measures on the both supports. Moreover, it is easy to see that the embedding i_α conjugates the mapping $\alpha: X \rightarrow X$ with the shift $\sigma: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{X}$, i.e., $i_\alpha \circ \alpha = \sigma \circ i_\alpha$.

Finally, let us look at how eigen-states of the initial positive flow and ones of the corresponding suspension are connected with each other. Obviously, each state ν on $\mathcal{A}$ induces the state $\mu = \nu \circ \pi$ on the crossed algebra $\mathcal{B}$. Assume that ν is a right eigen-state corresponding to the function $\pi\varphi = \varphi \circ i_\alpha$, where $\varphi \in C(\bar{X})$. Then $\mu = \nu \circ \pi$ is a right eigen-state corresponding to φ because

$$\mu(\cdot e^\varphi E) = \nu(\pi(\cdot) e^{\pi\varphi} E) = e^{\lambda(\pi\varphi)} \nu(\pi(\cdot)) = e^{\Lambda(\varphi)} \mu(\cdot).$$

On the other hand, the question whether any right eigen-state on the crossed algebra $\mathcal{B}$ can be represented as $\mu = \nu \circ \pi$, where ν is a right eigen-state on $\mathcal{A}$, still stays open. In brief, the difficulty of this question is seen from the fact that the equality $\mu = \nu \circ \pi$ uniquely determines the restriction of ν to the subalgebra $\pi(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{A}$ but it is not at all evident that this restriction is positive (in sense of the cone $\mathcal{A}_+$) or at least continuous with respect to the norm on $\mathcal{A}$.

10 Examples

In conclusion we present several examples illustrating the notions of positive flow, positive process, spectral potential, dual entropy, and eigen-state.

Example 1 Suppose $\alpha: X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous mapping of a Hausdorff compact set X . Denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the algebra of all continuous linear operators on $C(X)$. Take $C(X)$ as a phase algebra $\mathcal{C}$. Let an evolution operator E and a homomorphism $\delta: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be given by $Ef = \delta(f) = f \circ \alpha$. For this positive flow the spectral potential $\lambda(\varphi)$ is the logarithm of the spectral radius of the weighted shift operator $E_\varphi = e^\varphi E$ that assigns to each $f \in C(X)$ the function $e^\varphi f \circ \alpha$. It is well known (see [1], [11], [13], [14]) that in this case the spectral potential has the form $\lambda(\varphi) = \sup_{\mu \in M_\alpha(X)} \int_X \varphi d\mu$, where $M_\alpha(X)$ is the set of all α -invariant Borel probability measures on X . From the remark after Proposition 1.4 it follows that this spectral potential is maximal among all dynamical potentials with fixed value $\lambda(0) = 0$.

Consider separately the case of zero weight function φ . From the form of the functional $\lambda(\varphi)$ we see that it is Gâteaux differentiable at the point $\varphi = 0$ if and only if the dynamical system (X, α) has a unique invariant probability measure μ . In this case $\lambda(\varphi) \equiv \int_X \varphi d\mu$.

Dynamical systems with unique invariant probability measure μ are called *unique ergodic*. In the unique ergodic dynamical systems all empirical measures converge to μ (in the $*$ -weak topology) uniformly with respect to initial point (see [10]).

Any probability measure μ on X generates the state ν on $\mathcal{A}$ that is given by $\nu(A) = \int_X A(1) d\mu$. Obviously, this state satisfies $(E\nu)(A) = \nu(AE) = \nu(A)$. Hence μ is a right eigen-state corresponding to $\varphi \equiv 0$. And if, in addition, the measure μ is invariant then the ν is a two-sided eigen-state because $\nu(EA) = \int_X A(1) \circ \alpha d\mu = \int_X A(1) d\mu = \nu(A)$.

Now consider an arbitrary function $\varphi \in C(X)$. In the proof of Theorem 4.3 there was given a way to construct a right eigen-state corresponding to φ . Let us try to apply that construction in our particular case. Evidently, if a linear operator $A: C(X) \rightarrow C(X)$ is positive then $\|A\| = \max A(1)$. For any $\theta < e^{-\lambda(\varphi)}$ consider the operator $R_\theta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta^n E_\varphi^n$. Its norm is equal to $\|R_\theta\| = \max R_\theta(1) = \max \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta^n e^{S_n \varphi}$. Let δ_θ be a unit measure concentrated at one of points where the function $R_\theta(1)$ attains its maximum. Determine the state ν_θ as $\nu_\theta(A) = \delta_\theta(A(1))$. It has the unit norm and $\nu_\theta(R_\theta) = \|R_\theta\|$. In the proof of Theorem 4.3 the right eigen-state corresponding to φ was defined as a limit point of the family of states $\|R_\theta\|^{-1} \nu_\theta(\cdot R_\theta)$ as $\theta \nearrow e^{-\lambda(\varphi)}$. The restriction of the state $\|R_\theta\|^{-1} \nu_\theta(\cdot R_\theta)$ to the algebra $C(X)$ coincides with δ_θ . Therefore the restriction of the right eigen-state to $C(X)$ is a limit point of the family of measures δ_θ as $\theta \nearrow e^{-\lambda(\varphi)}$. But then this restriction is itself an atomic measure. Eventually we have proved that for any function $\varphi \in C(X)$ there exists a right eigen-state such that its restriction to $C(X)$ is an atomic probability measure.

Of course, the law of large numbers with respect to an atomic measure has little significance. But we still can use formula (6.7), which gives us a sequence of distributed probabilities P_n such that the law of large numbers is valid with respect to these P_n . For the sake of simplicity let us consider the case of separable algebra $C(X)$. Suppose $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots\}$ is a countable dense subset in $C(X)$. For each φ_i there exists a right eigen-state ν_i whose restriction to $C(X)$ is concentrated at one point x_i and has the mass 2^{-i} . Then according to (6.7) the measures P_n have the form

$$P_n(f) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu_i(f e^{S_n(\varphi - \varphi_i)}) e^{n\lambda(\varphi_i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu_i(e^{S_n(\varphi - \varphi_i)}) e^{n\lambda(\varphi_i)}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} f(x_i) e^{S_n \varphi(x_i) - S_n \varphi_i(x_i) + n\lambda(\varphi_i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} e^{S_n \varphi(x_i) - S_n \varphi_i(x_i) + n\lambda(\varphi_i)}}.$$

They depend on the weight function $\varphi \in C(X)$. If there exists the Gâteaux derivative $\lambda'(\varphi) = \mu$ then by the law of large numbers

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n \{ x \in X \mid |\delta_{x,n}(f) - \mu(f)| > \varepsilon \} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Example 2 Let $\alpha: X \rightarrow X$ be a measurable mapping of a measure space (X, μ) . Consider the shift $E = \alpha^*$ that takes each function f on X to $Ef = f \circ \alpha$. Assume that there exists a constant C so large that $\mu(\alpha^{-1}(G)) \leq C\mu(G)$ for any measurable subset $G \subset X$. Then the shift E is continuous on the space $L^1(X, \mu)$ with $\|E\| \leq C$. Denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the algebra of bounded linear operators on $L^1(X, \mu)$ and by $\mathcal{C}$ the algebra of essentially bounded functions $L^\infty(X, \mu)$. Then the quadruple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, E, \alpha^*)$ forms a positive flow.

Note that the phase space of this flow (that is the support of $\mathcal{C} = L^\infty(X, \mu)$) is not the X but a certain compactification of X . Finite Borel measures on the phase space are identified with continuous linear functionals on the phase algebra $\mathcal{C} = L^\infty(X, \mu)$ and these functionals, in turn, are identified with finitely additive measures on X that are absolutely

continuous with respect to μ . For any finitely additive measure m absolutely continuous with respect to μ we can define the dual entropy $S(m) = \inf_{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}} (\lambda(\varphi) - m(\varphi))$, where $\lambda(\varphi)$ is the logarithm of the spectral radius of the weighted shift operator $E_\varphi = e^\varphi E$. It turns out that the restriction of $S(m)$ to the set of equilibrium measures (subgradients of the spectral potential $\lambda(\varphi)$) can be computed in a different way avoiding the use of the spectral radius. Denote by $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha$ the set of all α -invariant finitely additive probability measures on X that are absolutely continuous with respect to μ . We shall consider all possible finite measurable partitions $D = \{G_1, \dots, G_k\}$ of the space X . Define the functional

$$\tau(m) = \inf_{n,D} \sup_{\|f\|=1} \sum_{G \in D} m(G) \ln \frac{\int_G f \circ \alpha^n d\mu}{m(G)}, \quad m \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha, \quad (10.1)$$

where the supremum is taken over all nonnegative functions $f \in L^1(X, \mu)$ with unit norm. In the papers [2], [3] there was announced the equality $\lambda(\varphi) = \max_{m \in \mathfrak{M}_\alpha} (m(\varphi) + \tau(m))$. It was called there the “variational principle” for the spectral radius of the weighted shift operator. Since the Legendre transform is involution, this principle implies that the functionals $S(m)$ and $\tau(m)$ coincide on $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha$.

Special cases of Example 2 are all stationary discrete time stochastic processes. To verify this fact it is sufficient to define X as the space of trajectories of a stochastic process, define μ as the probability distribution on the X , and define α as the shift taking each trajectory $x(t)$ to the trajectory $x(t+1)$. In this case we can define the state ν_0 on the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of all bounded linear operators on $L^1(X, \mu)$ by means of the formula $\nu_0(A) = \int_X A(1) d\mu$. The restriction of ν_0 to the phase algebra $\mathcal{C} = L^\infty(X, \mu)$ coincides with μ . Our stochastic process is stationary iff the measure μ is α -invariant. But the α -invariance of μ yields that ν_0 is a two-sided eigen-state for the shift $Ef = f \circ \alpha$ on $L^1(X, \mu)$.

Example 3 Suppose $\alpha: X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous and locally expanding mapping of a metric compact set X . Denote by $H^\gamma(X)$ the set of real-valued functions on X satisfying the Hölder condition with exponent $\gamma > 0$. For each function $\varphi \in H^\gamma(X)$ define the following operator E_φ on $C(X)$:

$$E_\varphi f(x) = \sum_{y \in \alpha^{-1}(x)} e^{\varphi(y)} f(y).$$

Usually they call it the Perron–Frobenius operator or the transfer operator. It is easy to see that it is positive and satisfies the contravariant homological identity $E_\varphi((f \circ \alpha)g) = fE_\varphi g$. The operator E_φ has a unique positive eigenfunction $h_\varphi \in H^\gamma(X)$ and a unique positive eigenfunctional $\mu_\varphi: C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and the corresponding eigenvalue e^{λ_φ} coincides with the spectral radius of E_φ (see [9], [16]). All these eigen-elements depend analytically on $\varphi \in H^\gamma(X)$ and satisfy

$$E_\varphi h_\varphi = e^{\lambda_\varphi} h_\varphi, \quad \mu_\varphi \circ E_\varphi = e^{\lambda_\varphi} \mu_\varphi, \quad \mu_\varphi(h_\varphi) = 1, \quad \mu_\varphi(1) = 1.$$

Let us define $\mathcal{A}$ as the algebra of bounded linear operators on $C(X)$ with the transposed multiplication $A * B = B \circ A$, take $\mathcal{C} = H^\gamma(X)$, and define δ as the shift $\delta(f) = f \circ \alpha$. Then for any functions $f \in \mathcal{C}$ and $g \in C(X)$ we have $(E_\varphi * f)g = fE_\varphi g = E_\varphi(\delta(f)g) = (\delta(f) * E_\varphi)g$. So we get the covariant homological identity $E_\varphi * f = \delta(f) * E_\varphi$. Hence

the quadruple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, E_\varphi, \delta)$ forms a covariant positive flow. The number λ_φ determined above is its spectral potential. In our example the evolution operator E_φ has a two-sided eigen-state ν_φ on the algebra $\mathcal{A}$. It is given by $\nu_\varphi(A) = \mu_\varphi(Ah_\varphi)$. The restriction of ν_φ to $C(X)$ induces an α -invariant ergodic probability measure on X . Any function $f \in C(X)$ may be considered as a random variable with respect to the measure just defined. In [4] it was shown that if $f \in H^\gamma(X)$ then the sequence of the random variables $S_n f = f + f \circ \alpha + \dots + f \circ \alpha^{n-1}$ satisfies the central limit theorem and, moreover, Cramér's type asymptotics for the probabilities of large deviations is valid too.

Example 4 Suppose Ω is an arbitrary measurable space and $B(\Omega)$ is the set of all bounded measurable functions on Ω supplied with the supremum norm. Assume that E is a positive linear operator on $B(\Omega)$ such that $E1 \equiv 1$. Then E is automatically continuous. Consider the positive process $(\mathcal{A}, B(\Omega), E)$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is the algebra of bounded linear operators on $B(\Omega)$. Denote by X the support of the phase algebra $B(\Omega)$. Since $B(\Omega)$ is complete, it is naturally isomorphic to $C(X)$. The crossed algebra $\mathcal{B}$ corresponding to the positive process $(\mathcal{A}, B(\Omega), E)$ consists of formal sums $\sum_{i=0}^n F_i E^i$, where the coefficients F_i are continuous functions on the trajectory space $\bar{X} = X^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Consider the linear span of all crossed operators of the form FE^n , where $F \in C(\bar{X})$ is a product $F(x) = f_1(x_1) \cdots f_m(x_m)$, $f_i \in C(X)$. This linear span is dense in the crossed algebra $\mathcal{B}$. Hence any state on $\mathcal{B}$ is uniquely determined by its values on the operators indicated above. Let μ be a positive linear functional on $B(\Omega)$ with $\mu(1) = 1$. Define the state ν on $\mathcal{B}$ by means of the formula

$$\nu(FE^n) = \mu(f_1 E(f_2 E(f_3 \dots E(f_m E(1)) \dots))). \quad (10.2)$$

It is easily seen that ν is a right eigen-state for the evolution operator E . The restriction of ν to the subalgebra $C(\bar{X}) \subset \mathcal{B}$ generates a Borel probability measure $\mathbf{P}$ on $\bar{X}$. In its turn, the linear functional μ on $B(\Omega) \cong C(X)$ is identified with a Borel probability measure on X . Further, the evolution operator E is naturally transferred from $B(\Omega)$ to $C(X)$. For each point $x \in X$ consider the positive linear functional $P_x(f) = Ef(x)$ on $C(X)$. It is also identified with a probability measure on X . Thus we have constructed the Markov chain with phase space X , transition probabilities P_x , and initial probability distribution μ on X . From (10.2) it follows that the corresponding probability measure on the space of trajectories of this Markov chain coincides with $\mathbf{P}$. If we wish, we can suppose that the phase space of this Markov chain is not X , but Ω , although in this case all the probability measures will be only finitely additive.

References

- [1] A. B. Antonevich. Operatoren mit Translation, die durch das Wirken einer kompakten Lieschen Gruppe erzeugt wird. (German) *Sib. Mat. Zh.*, **20** (1979), 467–478.
- [2] A. B. Antonevich, V. I. Bakhtin, A. V. Lebedev. Variational principle for the spectral radius of weighted shift and weighted mathematical expectation operators. (Russian) *Dokl. Nats. Akad. Nauk Belarusi*, **44**, No. 6 (2000), 7–10.
- [3] A. B. Antonevich, V. I. Bakhtin, A. V. Lebedev. Thermodynamics and Spectral Radius. *Nonlinear Phenomena in Complex Systems*, **4**, No. 4 (2001), 318–321.
- [4] V. I. Bakhtin. Perron–Frobenius dynamical systems. (Russian) *Dokl. Nats. Akad. Nauk Belarusi*, **45**, No. 2 (2001), 8–11.
- [5] E. Bishop, R. R. Phelps. The support functionals of a convex set, in: Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 7. Amer. Math. Soc., 1963. P. 27–35.
- [6] N. Dunford, J. T. Schwartz. Linear Operators. Part I: General Theory. Interscience Publishers, New York, London, 1958.
- [7] J. Dixmier. Les C^* -algebres et leurs representations. Paris, 1969.
- [8] L. C. Evans, R. F. Gariepi. Measure theory and fine properties of functions. CRC Press. Boca Raton, 1992.
- [9] F. Hofbauer, G. Keller. Ergodic properties of invariant measures for piecewise transformations. *Math. Z.*, **180**, No. 1 (1982), 119–140.
- [10] A. Katok, B. Hasselblatt. Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [11] A. K. Kitover. Spectrum of automorphisms with weight and the Kamowitz-Scheinberg theorem. *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **13** (1979), 57–58.
- [12] M. A. Krasnosel'skij, E. A. Lifshits, A. V. Sobolev. Positive linear systems. Methods of positive operators. (Russian) Moscow, 1985.
- [13] A. V. Lebedev. The invertibility of elements in the C^* -algebras generated by dynamical systems. *Russ. Math. Surv.*, **34**, No. 4 (1979), 174–175.
- [14] S. A. Lô. The spectrum of a composition operator in $C(K)$. (Russian) *Izv. Akad. Nauk BSSR, Ser. Fiz.-Mat. Nauk*, No. 6 (1979), 44–48.
- [15] S. A. Lô. Weighted shift operators on some Banach spaces of functions. (Russian) Ph. D. Thesis. Minsk, 1981.
- [16] D. Ruelle. The thermodynamic formalism for expanding maps. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **125**, No. 2 (1989), 239–262.